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The publication is a process to make content (scientific or non-scientific) available to the general public with the help of printing or digital media. Also, "publication" is a legal term where copyright legislation plays an important role. The publications comprised of different types that include, book publications, scholarly publications (research articles or review articles), self-publication, newspapers, magazines, etc. In the academic field, the authors will submit the manuscript to the publishers for publishing in scientific journals/ magazines or books. The author's submission will be reviewed and published in digital or printed media. The authors are playing a major role by contributing their manuscript to the scholarly publications and they are the primary sources of information.

Criteria for authorship

An author is "the person who originated or gave existence to anything" and whose authorship determines responsibility for what was created. In a scientific paper, authorship is an important form of academic currency and this importance, changing the nature of biomedical research over the last few decades, has stimulated an increase of multi-author in the manuscripts. The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE)/ Vancouver Group defines, "the authorship confers credit and has important academic, social, and financial implications. Authorship also implies responsibility and accountability for published work". The ICMJE recommends that the authorship based on the following four criteria includes,

- "Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work"
- "Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content"
- "Final approval of the version to be published"
- "Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved".

These criteria for authorship help to reduce the number of "undeserved authorships" including gift authorship, pressured authorship, and honorary authorship. Another type of misconduct is 'ghost authorship (failure to include the person as an author when they have contributed substantially)' which is the opposite of honorary authorship. The description of different types of authorship is summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of authorships</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gift authorship</td>
<td>When authorship is granted as a favour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressured authorship</td>
<td>When a person uses their position of authority to get authorship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorary authorship/Guest authorship</td>
<td>Granting authorship to those who played no significant role in the work, for a variety of reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghost authorship</td>
<td>The failure to include the name of the person as an author when they have contributed substantially to the research work or drafting the manuscript</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gift authorship

Gift authorship is defined as "co-authorship awarded to a person who has not contributed significantly to the study". Gifting authorship to the person who does not qualify for authorship is unethical. In the last few years, giving gift authorship is widely prevalent in many institutions.

Pressured authorship

Pressured authorship is a variation of guest authorship. This occurs when the names of persons/individuals are included in all research work by members of their research team.

Honorary authorship/Guest authorship

The honorary/guest authorships are preventable if the researchers not including any researcher in their manuscript when they are not meeting the ICMJE authorship criteria. The prevalence of honorary authorship is between 19% and 60%. Mirzazadeh et al., studied the prevalence of honorary and ghost authorship in biomedical journals and found that ~89% of articles had at least one honorary author, in that ~20% of the article has more than 3 honorary authors. In another study, Mowatt et al., analyzed 362 reviews and found that 39% of reviews had evidence of honorary authors and 9% of reviews had evidence of ghost authors. Mowatt et al., also stated that the honorary authorship is more prevalent than ghost authorship because the honorary authors are not participated in drafting the reviews or not approved it for submission. In recent years, the authors are forming the "publication cartels" to increase the number of publications which leads to an increase in the percentage of voluntary honorary authorship.

Ghost Authorship

The failure to include the name the person as an author when they have contributed substantially to an article may result in a lack of accountability. Getzsch et al., conducted a cohort study to compare the 44 study protocols and corresponding publications for industry-initiated trials approved by the ethical committees for Copenhagen and Frederiksborg in 1994–1995 and found evidence of ghost authorship for 33 trials (75%) with the prevalence rate of 91% (40 of 44 articles). Bavdekar, highlighted three types of ghost authorship viz. (a) suppression of the contribution of a junior colleague (junior researcher, postgraduate students or postdoctoral fellow), (b) omission of the researcher in author list and (c) Manuscript writing services where the medical writer appointed by a pharmaceutical/biomedical industries and the drafted
manuscript is published with the authorship of the reputed scientist. The risk with ghost-written manuscripts is that the listed author may not read the manuscript or may not know the content published under his name. Generally, the ghost authors are work on behalf of companies, or agents with a commercial interest in the topic, and this compounds the problem. Hence, the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) considers the phenomenon of ghostwriting as dishonest and unacceptable.

**Recommendations**

Adherence to ICMJE criteria for authorship will reduce the undeserved authorships. The authors of the Journal of Young Pharmacists requested to adhering the ICMJE guidance on “Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals” which help the authors to reduce the manuscript processing time and rate of manuscript rejection.
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