Evaluation of Free Radical Scavenging Activities and Phytochemical Screening of Curcuma longa Extracts
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The study investigated the free radical scavenging and antioxidant potential of the methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate extracts of turmeric rhizome. Methods: We assessed the phytochemical constituents, total polyphenol and flavonoid content in these turmeric extracts using standard phytochemical analyses. Phytochemical screening of turmeric extracts showed the presence of carbohydrates, proteins, sterols, tannins, flavonoids and saponins. Results: Ethanol extracts showed the highest polyphenol content (71.7 ± 3.0 mg of gallic acid equivalents/g of extract) and flavonoid content (28.5 ± 2.1 mg quercetin equivalents/g of extract) when compared to other extracts of turmeric rhizome. Similarly, ethanol extract of turmeric possessed the highest antioxidant activity (463.25 ± 36.15 μM Fe(II)/g of extract) in FRAP assay. The inhibitory concentration (IC50) of gallic acid, ethanol, methanol and ethyl acetate extracts were found to be 27μg, 30μg, 38μg and 47μg respectively in DPPH assay. The H2O2 scavenging activity of the extract (20, 40, 50, 100 and 200g/ml) was increased in a dose dependent manner and ethanol extracts found superior hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity similar to gallic acid standard. The curcuminoids (standard) and the turmeric extracts were separated in Thin layer Chromatography (TLC). The Curcuminoids were separated into curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, bis demethoxycurcumin with Rf value of 0.91, 0.65 and 0.44 respectively. The composition of turmeric extracts was consistent with the composition of curcuminoids as shown by the Rf values. Conclusion: The study concludes that ethanol extract of turmeric showed high polyphenol and flavonoid content which is attributed to its higher anti-oxidant properties. Key words: Turmeric, Phenolic content, Flavonoids, Feric reducing anti-oxidant power assay, DPPH radical scavenging assay.
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INTRODUCTION

Turmeric (Curcuma longa) is a rhizomatous plant of the ginger family, Zingiberaceae and commonly found in southeast tropical Asia. The root of this plant i.e., rhizome is used for culinary and medicinal purposes. It is widely used as spice, colouring and flavouring agent in food preparations especially in Southeast Asia. It has been used as home remedy for various illnesses such as common cold, sore throat, fever, biliary disorders, anorexia, wounds and sinusitis. The yellow-pigmented fraction of turmeric is attributed due to the presence of phytochemicals called curcuminoids. Curcumin I (1,7-bis (4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenol)-1,6-heptadiene-3, 5-dione) is the major active component present in turmeric and is responsible for most of its biological activities. The anti-oxidant potential of curcumin is owing to the presence of hydroxyl group on the phenyl ring. Curcumin is a polyphenol and is lipophilic in nature, hence insoluble in water and ether but soluble in ethanol, dimethylsulfoxide and other organic solvents. The other constituents present in turmeric are volatile oils including turmerone, atlantone and zingiberone and are insoluble in water and ether but soluble in ethanol, dimethylsulfoxide and other organic solvents. The other constituents present in turmeric are volatile oils including turmerone, atlantone and zingiberone and sugars, proteins and resins. Due to the presence of curcumin and other constituents turmeric is widely used as a medicine for the treatment of many ailments. It is vastly used as an anti-inflammatory agent.

Extensive studies carried out by researchers around the globe have clearly demonstrated curcumin’s potential as a therapeutic agent and have paved the way towards conducting clinical trials for various diseases including cancer, cardiovascular, and gastrointestinal disorders, multiple sclerosis, type II diabetes, skin diseases, cystic fibrosis, cataract etc. Hence, in the present study, we aimed to evaluate the free radical scavenging and antioxidant potential of methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate extracts of turmeric rhizome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals
Curcuminoid and 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), were purchased from Sigma Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). 2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) and ferrous sulphate were procured from Himedia, Mumbai. All other chemicals were of analytical grade obtained from SRL (India).

Preparation of turmeric extracts
Turmeric rhizomes were obtained from local market. The rhizomes were identified and authenticated as Curcuma longa by the French Institute of Pondicherry, Puducherry, India (HIPF 26738). Rhizomes were cleaned with water, dried and grounded into a fine powder. The powdered samples were added to conical flasks containing ethanol, methanol and ethyl acetate in the ratio of 1:10. The samples were kept in shaking water bath for 24 hr. The extraction mixtures were separated from the residue by centrifuging at 1500 rpm. Supernatants were evaporated to dryness under vacuum at 40°C using rotary evaporator. The obtained extracts were kept in sterile sample tubes and stored in refrigerator at 4°C.
Preliminary phytochemical screening
The phytochemical constituents such as carbohydrates, proteins, sterols, tannins, flavonoids and saponins were analyzed qualitatively using standard procedures. The assays were repeated thrice under same conditions on three consecutive days.

Estimation of total phenols
The total phenolic content of turmeric extracts was estimated with Folin-Ciocalteau reagent using the method of Singleton and Rossi et al.° 100µl of the turmeric extract solution (1mg/ml) was added to 750 µl of Folin-Ciocalteau reagent (1:10 diluted with distilled water) and kept at 22°C for five minutes. To this, 750 µl of 6% sodium carbonate was added, mixed and kept in dark for 90 min at 22°C. The solution was measured at 725 nm and the results were obtained from a standard graph of Gallic acid (0-0.1mg/ml). The values were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/ g of turmeric extract. The assay was repeated thrice under same conditions for three consecutive days and the mean value was obtained.

Estimation of total flavonoids
The total flavonoid content of three different extracts was determined using the aluminum chloride colorimetry assay. One ml of extract (1mg/ml) or standard solutions of gallic acid (20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml) was added to a 10 ml volumetric flask containing 4 ml of distilled water. And then 0.3 ml of 5% sodium nitrite was added and mixed well. The reaction mixture was incubated for 5 min at 37°C. To this, 0.3 ml of 10% AlCl₃ and 2ml of 1M NaOH was added and the volume was made up to 10 ml with distilled water. The solution was mixed and absorbance was measured at 510 nm against blank in UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The total flavonoid content was expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE).

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity
The hydrogen peroxide-scavenging activity of the turmeric extracts was determined by the method of Bozin et al. Different concentrations of turmeric extracts and gallic acid standards from 20 to 100µg/ml were prepared freshly. One millilitre of extract or gallic acid standard was mixed with 2.4 ml of phosphate buffer (0.1M, pH 7.4) and 0.6 ml of H₂O₂ (40mM) solution. The solution was mixed thoroughly and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 230 nm against blank solution containing the turmeric extracts without H₂O₂. The percentage inhibition of turmeric extracts and standards were calculated using the following formula.

\[
\text{Percentage scavenged } [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] (\%) = \frac{\text{Abs(standard)} - \text{Abs(extract/standard)}}{\text{Abs(standard)}} \\
\times 100
\]

where, Abs(standard) was the absorbance of the extract (without extracts or standard)

Abs(extract/standard) was the absorbance in the presence of the extracts or standard

2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay
The free radical scavenging activity of extract was measured according to the method of Brand-Williams et al.° by decrease in the absorbance of methanol solution of DPPH. Different concentrations of turmeric extracts and gallic acid standards (20 to 100µg/ml) were prepared freshly. The stock solution of 0.3mM DPPH was prepared in methanol and kept at 20°C until analysis. 3ml of DPPH solution was mixed with 1 ml of aqueous turmeric extracts and standards. The solutions were mixed well and kept in the dark for 30 min. The control was prepared by mixing 2 ml of DPPH and 1 ml of methanol and kept at dark for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm using microplate reader spectrophotometers. Samples were measured in three replicates. Percentage of DPPH scavenging activity was calculated as % inhibition of DPPH = [Abs control – Abs sample / Abs control] x 100.

Total antioxidant activity (FRAP ASSAY)
Total anti-oxidant activity (FRAP assay) was estimated according to the method of Benzie and Strain.° FRAP working solution was prepared by adding 25 ml of 0.3 M acetate buffer, 2.5 ml of 10 mM TPTZ and 2.5 ml of 20 mmol FeCl₃, 6 H₂O solution. The working solution was prepared freshly. 3 ml of working FRAP reagent was mixed with 100 µl of turmeric extracts and incubated at room temperature for 4 min. The absorbance was measured at 593 nm using UV/visible spectrophotometer. Results were obtained from a standard graph of FeSO₄ (0-1000 µMol/L) and expressed as µM FRAP Fe(II)/g of extracts.

Separation of turmeric extracts and curcuminoids by Thin layer chromatography (TLC)
Turmeric extracts were separated by thin layer chromatography, Samples and curcuminoid standards were prepared by diluting in respective solvents. About 5 µl of samples and standards were applied to the origins of a TLC pre-coated silica gel plate 2 cm above the bottom with the help of capillary tube. After the application of the sample, the plates were kept in a glass chamber which was saturated with mobile phase (Chloroform: methanol, 95: 5) for 20 min. The mobile phase was allowed to move through the stationary phase up to 3/4th of the plate. The plate should be removed from the chamber before the solvent front reaches the top of the stationary phase and dried. After drying, the plates were kept in the chamber saturated with iodine vapours for detecting the spots. All plates were visualized with the help of UV light at 254 nm and 366 nm in UV TLC viewer for detecting the additional spots. The Retention factor (Rₜ) value of the different spots were calculated using the following formula.

\[
Rₜ = \frac{\text{Distance travelled by substance (turmeric extract)}}{\text{Total distance travelled by Mobile phase}}
\]

Statistical analysis
All the assays were repeated thrice under the same conditions for three consecutive days and the mean value was obtained. The results were expressed as the mean ± SD. Data were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Packages for Social Science, version 16.0). All data were analysed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the Preliminary phytochemical analyses of turmeric extracts. Methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate extracts shows the presence of Sterols, Alkaloids, Flavonoids and Phenols. Tannin was present in both methanol and ethanol extracts but absent in ethyl acetate extract. Table 2 shows the extraction yield, total phenol and flavonoid content of three different turmeric extracts. The percentage yield of methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate was 10.0, 10.4 and 9.7 respectively. Total phenolic content and total flavonoid content were determined from the calibration curves of gallic acid and quercetin respectively. It was found that ethanolic extract has the highest phenol content 71.7±3.0 mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g of extract and flavonoid content 28.5±2.1 mg quercetin equivalents (QE)/g of extract followed by methanol and ethyl acetate extracts. The phenolic content of methanol and ethyl acetate extract was 52.1±3.8 and 49.2 ±2.8 GAE/g of extract and flavonoid content was 24.2 ± 4.8 and 21.8± 3.7 QE/g of extract respectively. Figure 1 shows the total anti-oxidant activity of three different turmeric extracts. The anti-oxidant activity was determined by FRAP. The results
indicated that ethanol extract of turmeric exhibited significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) higher antioxidant activity \( (463.3 \pm 36.2 \mu M \text{ Fe (II)/g of extract}) \) when compared to methanol \( (346.53 \pm 25.75 \mu M \text{ Fe (II)/g}) \) and ethyl acetate extract \( (408.7 \pm 53.1 \mu M \text{ Fe (II)/g of extract}) \).

The DPPH assay (Figure 2) showed that turmeric extracts had significant radical scavenging effect with increasing concentration in the range of 20–100\( \mu \text{g/ml} \). The maximum percentage inhibition of gallic acid, methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate extract at 100\( \mu \text{g/ml} \) was 87 ± 1.73, 72 ± 4, 74 ± 3.24 and 66.1 ± 3.7 respectively. Ethanol and methanol extract shows almost similar percentage inhibition followed by ethyl acetate extracts. The effective concentration \( (\text{EC}_{50}) \) of gallic acid, ethanol, methanol and ethyl acetate extract was found to be 27\( \mu \text{g/ml} \), 30\( \mu \text{g/ml} \), 38\( \mu \text{g/ml} \) and 47\( \mu \text{g/ml} \) respectively in DPPH assay (Table 3). The ethanol extracts shows the maximum \( \text{EC}_{50} \) when compared to other extracts.

The \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) scavenging activity of the extract \( (20, 40, 50, 100 \text{ and } 200 \mu \text{g/ml}) \) was increased in a dose dependent manner and ethanol extracts found superior hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity similar to gallic acid standard as shown in Figure 3. The \( \text{EC}_{50} \) of gallic acid, ethanol, methanol and ethyl acetate extract was found to be 35\( \mu \text{g/ml} \), 42\( \mu \text{g/ml} \), 53\( \mu \text{g/ml} \) and 64\( \mu \text{g/ml} \) respectively in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) Scavenging assay. (Table 3) Figure 4 shows the Thin Layer Chromatography of turmeric extracts. (Curcuminooids and turmeric extracts were separated in TLC.) Curcuminooids were separated into curcumin, dimethoxycurcumin, bis demethoxycurcumin with \( R_f \) value of value at 0.91, 0.65, 0.44 respectively. The ethanol and ethylacetate extracts \( R_f \) value was 0.9, 0.63, 0.42 and 0.91, 0.42, 0.44 respectively. Similarly, the \( R_f \) value for the three spots of the three extracts were found to be methanol; 0.90, 0.63, 0.42, ethanol; 0.9, 0.63, 0.42 and ethyl acetate 0.91, 0.42, 0.44 respectively. The composition of turmeric extracts was consistent with the composition of curcuminooids as shown by the \( R_f \) values.

Results expressed as mean ± standard deviation, \((n=3)\). All data were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

GAE: Gallic acid equivalent, QE: quercetin equivalent.

### Table 1: Preliminary phytochemical analyses of turmeric extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemical compounds</th>
<th>Methanol Extract</th>
<th>Ethanol Extract</th>
<th>Ethylacetate Extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterols</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(+\) Present, - Absent

### Table 2: Extraction yield and total amount of plant phenolic compounds, flavonoids of three different turmeric extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turmeric extracts</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Total Phenol content (mg of gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/ g of extracts)</th>
<th>Total flavonoid content (mg of quercetin equivalent (QE)/g of extracts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanol Extract</td>
<td>10.0 g</td>
<td>52.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>218 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extracts</td>
<td>10.4 g</td>
<td>71.7 ± 3.0(^{a,b})</td>
<td>28.5 ± 2.1(^{a,b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylacetate extracts</td>
<td>9.7 g</td>
<td>49.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>21.8 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) when compared to methanol extracts,

\(^b\) when compared to ethanol extracts,

Results expressed as mean ± standard deviation, \((n=3)\). All data were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

GAE: Gallic acid equivalent, QE: quercetin equivalent.

### Table 3: Effective concentration \( (\text{EC}_{50}) \) of turmeric extracts in DPPH and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) scavenging activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>DPPH radical scavenging activity (( \mu \text{g/ml} ))</th>
<th>( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 ) Scavenging activity (( \mu \text{g/ml} ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>27 ±2.4 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )</td>
<td>35 ± 3.3 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extracts</td>
<td>38 ± 3.3 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )</td>
<td>53 ± 2.8 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol extracts</td>
<td>30 ± 2.8 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )^(*)</td>
<td>42 ± 3.4 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )^(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylacetate extracts</td>
<td>47 ±1.9 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )</td>
<td>64 ± 3.6 ( \mu \text{g/ml} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean ± standard deviation, \((n=3)\). All data were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

\(^*\) \( = P<0.05 \) when compared to methanol extract

**Figure 1:** Total anti-oxidant activities (FRAP) of three different extracts. Results expressed as mean ± standard deviation, \((n=3)\). All data were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post doc test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.* \( = P<0.05 \) when compared to methanol extract

**Figure 2:** Graphical representation of DPPH radical scavenging activity of turmeric extracts. Results expressed as mean ± standard deviation, \((n=3)\).
We found highest phenolic content and flavonoid content in the ethanol extracts but absent in ethyl acetate extract. The percentage extraction yield of turmeric with methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate was found to be 10.0, 10.4 and 9.7 respectively. The preliminary phytochemical screening of the turmeric extracts showed the presence of vital constituents such as phenols, flavonoids, stilbenoids and phenolic acids and flavonoids are predominant among the three groups. Flavonoids are natural antioxidants prevents the generation of oxidants even at low concentration by reacting with pro-oxidants leading to inactivation. Studies have shown that the antioxidant properties of polyphenols prevent oxidative stress mediated complications and there by minimize the development of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cancers and neurodegenerative diseases. Hence it is beneficial in alleviating many complications associated with various diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer and many auto-immune disorders.

Total antioxidant activity was used to estimate the antioxidant status in any biological sample and the advantage of the test is it measures all antioxidants and not the activity of a single compound. The ethanol extract of turmeric exhibited significantly higher antioxidant activity when compared to methanol and ethyl acetate extracts of extract. The free radical scavenging activity of turmeric extracts was assessed by Diphenyl-β-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay. It is extensively used to assess the free radical scavenging and the hydrogen donating ability of the test compound. The DPPH assay showed that turmeric extracts had significant radical scavenging effect with increasing concentration in the range of 20–100µg/ml. The ethanol extract shows the maximum EC50 when compared to other extracts. The high anti-oxidant activity of turmeric extract is due to presence of phytochemicals such as phenols, flavonoids, anthocyanins and curcuminoids. The curcuminoids include curcumin, dimethoxycurcumin and bis demethoxycurcumin among them curcumin has the highest anti-oxidant property which is attributed to its methoxylated phenols and β- diketone structure. In our study, H2O2 scavenging activity of the extracts have shown that ethanol extract showed superior hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity similar to gallic acid standard. The EC50 of ethanol was found to be 42µg/ml in H2O2 Scavenging assay. These findings were in line with the previous study in which ethanolic extract showed higher EC50 values when compared to other extracts.

Consistent with this we have analyzed the different curcuminoids by thin layer chromatography (TLC) for all the three extracts. The Rf value of all the three turmeric extracts was consistent with the composition of standard curcuminoids. In recent years use of alternative therapeutic approaches has been explored. Naturally derived potent polyphenols from plant sources may be used to alleviate several metabolic complications with minimal adverse effects. Curcumin (diferuloly-methane) is the active component derived from Curcuma longa. Curcumin is a potent scavenger of ROS and nitrogen dioxide radicals. Studies also have shown that curcumin exhibits strong antioxidant activity and play a vital role against oxidative stress mediated diseases like diabetes, obesity and cardiovascular diseases.

CONCLUSION
In the present study, we estimated the free radical scavenging activities and antioxidant properties of turmeric rhizomes using methanol, ethanol and ethyl acetate. The preliminary phytochemical screening of the turmeric extracts showed the presence of vital constituents such as sterols, alkaloids, flavonoids, phenols and tannins. The total polyphenol and flavonoid content of the ethanolic extract was higher when compared with the other extracts. The ethanolic extract also displayed higher antioxidant activity by FRAP assay. On comparison with other extracts, ethanol extract of turmeric exhibited greater free radical scavenging activity and antioxidant property.
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ABBREVIATIONS

DPPH: 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl DPPH; TLC: Thin layer Chromatography; TPTZ: 2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s-triazine; FRAP: Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power Assay; \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \): Hydrogen peroxide; EC: Effective concentration; GAE: Gallic acid equivalents; QE: Quercetin equivalents; RF: Retention factor.
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