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ABSTRACT
Objective: This study aimed to evaluate efficacy of insulin, sulfonylurea, and sulfonylureas-metformin combination in reducing the HbA1c level and preventing renal function decline in type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients in Indonesia. Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted at Dr. Sitanala Hospital involving 105 patients who were taking: insulin (30), sulfonylureas (30) and sulfonylureas-metformin combination (45). The subjects were classified into age, sex, and BMI-matched groups. We measured their HbA1c level and serum creatinine to calculate eGFR level. Results: There were no differences among the three groups in term of age, sex, BMI, occupation and exercise habit. However, diet and family history of DM were different between the three groups. The average of HbA1c levels in the sulfonylureas-metformin group was 7.46±1.81 and showed a significant difference compared to the insulin group (8.27±1.74) with p=0.042. No significant difference existed in eGFR in the three groups (p=0.476). Subjects with obesity or BMI >30 kg/m² had 3.268 times probability (p=0.001) of having HbA1c >7 relative to underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), after adjustment for comorbidity, diet and exercise habit. Subjects with diabetic family history had 1.616 times relative risk to have HbA1c level >7%, compared to those without diabetic family history (p = 0.002). Conclusion: We found in this study site that sulfonylureas-metformin combination was more efficient in decreasing HbA1c level in type 2 DM patients compared to insulin or sulfonylureas monotherapy. Family history of DM and overweight had considerable effect on uncontrolled HbA1c. Meanwhile, there was no significant difference among the three groups in preventing the decline of eGFR.
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INTRODUCTION
The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) worldwide is expected to reach 44% in 2030, which is about 366 million people.¹ The total number of patients with DM in Indonesia shows a considerable increase from year to year. Year 2011 revision of Type 2 DM Consensus issued by PERKENI (Indonesian Society of Endocrinology) states that the prevalence of DM in Jakarta (urban area) in 1982 was 1.7%, increasing to 5.7% in 1993 and to 12.8% in 2001.² The prevalence of type 2 DM in Indonesia in 2013 was 5.6% of the population and is projected to increase to 6.7% in 2035.³ Metformin and the second generation sulfonylurines (glibenclamide, glimepiride, gliclazide, gliquidone) are the longest oral antidiabetic medication used and are also the first choice for type 2 DM treatment.⁴ The Global Guideline for Type 2 Diabetes issued by the International Diabetes Federation-Clinical Guidelines Task Force in 2012 states that treatment of diabetes starts with metformin if there is no evidence of renal impairment or other contraindications. Sulfonylurea or alpha-glucosidase inhibitor can be used as initial therapy if there is contraindication to metformin. Insulin therapy is recommended for patients with type 2 DM with initial HbA1c levels of more than 9% or if the blood glucose cannot be controlled with oral antidiabetic treatments.⁵ Diabetic nephropathy is one of the diabetes complications that has the highest incidence rate and often occurs during or shortly after the diagnosis is established. Approximately 3% of patients had nephropathy at the time their type 2 DM’s were diagnosed and in patients who have suffered for 10-20 years. In America, the total incidence of nephropathy is 3% per year.⁶ A cohort study conducted by Penno et al. has shown that fluctuation of the HbA1c level is associated with nephropathy.⁷ Previous studies on the effectiveness of oral antidiabetic drugs and insulin showed different results. A randomized controlled trial conducted by UKPDS in 1977-1997 on 4075 newly diagnosed type 2 DM patients showed that monotherapy with insulin, sulfonylurines and metformin increased the ratio of patients who can achieve HbA1c values below 7% 2-3 times higher compared to the patients with diet alone.⁸ However, combination therapy of metformin with a sulfonylurea significantly increased the relative risk (RR) of cardiovascular disease or death (fatal and non-fatal incidence) compared to diet only, metformin monotherapy or sulfonylurea monotherapy.⁹ Monotherapy with metformin only or in combination with sulfonylurea was associated with a reduction in all-cause mortality or cardiovascular disease compared to sulfonylurea monotherapy among new users of these drugs.¹⁰ Patients using sulfonylurea had an increased risk of initial eGFR persistent decline and the risk of secondary event ESRD (end state of renal disease) or higher mortality compared to patients taking metformin.¹¹ With these varying conclusions, more researches still need to be performed.

Dr. Sitanala Hospital is a specialized hospital for leprosy that already opened for any other diseases and complete general hospital facilities are already available including specialist physicians. The list of top 10 prevalence diseases in 2014 from Outpatient Ward of Dr. Sitanala Hospital shows that from a total of 13,268 visits, type 2 DM ranks...
second with 1,894 numbers of visits (14%). The total population of type 2 DM patients in 2014 were 223 patients. Treatments for type 2 DM in this hospital included sulfonylurea, the combination of sulfonylurea-metformin, insulin and oral anti-diabetic combination, or merely insulin. Therefore, this study aimed to know whether sulfonylurea, the combination of sulfonylurea-metformin and insulin have different effects in reducing HbA1c and preventing the renal function decline.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design, Study Population and Data Collection

This study was conducted in cross-sectional study design. This study has been registered at the Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia–Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital (No.76/UN2. F1/ETIK/2015) and at the Ethics Committee of Dr. Sitanala Hospital (No. DM.04.05/1/01662/2015). Informed consents were obtained from all individual participants included in the study. Subjects were defined as those who had been exposed to observed drugs for at least four months. Blood samples were taken from the subjects and were examined in a single accredited laboratory for HbA1c and serum creatinine. All of the samples were taken from type 2 DM patients who came to the outpatient installation ward at Dr. Sitanala Hospital, Tangerang, during the study in February 2015 to May 2015. They were classified into three groups and consisted of 105 subjects, group 1 (patients taking insulin injections), group 2 (patients taking sulfonylurea monotherapy), group 3 (patients taking the combination of sulfonylurea-metformin). The inclusion criteria for this study were patients who had been diagnosed with type 2 DM for less than ten years, patients whose age were 30-70 years old and patients with original ethnics of Indonesia. The exclusion criteria were patients who were receiving the prescription of anti-infective drugs, supplements or vitamins and patients with leprosy.

Blood samples of the subjects were taken by a phlebotomist and assessed in a private clinical laboratory to get HbA1c and eGFR values. Body Mass Indexes (BMI) were calculated by the height and weight of every subject. Underweight was defined as having a BMI < 18.5 kg/m², normal as a BMI between 18.5 – 24.9 kg/m², overweight as a BMI between 25 -29.5 kg/m² and obese as a BMI of more than 30 kg/m². Patients were interviewed to obtain data on their occupation, education level, diet, exercise, comorbidity and their family history of diabetes. Venous blood samples were collected by a certified phlebotomist and were transferred to vacutainer serum tubes. Then the samples were incubated at room temperature for 1530 min and centrifuged at 1000-2000 rpm for 10 min in a refrigerated centrifuge. HbA1c and serum creatinine levels from the blood samples were analyzed by an accredited laboratory (Prodia, Tangerang, Indonesia).

Calculation of eGFR

Calculation of eGFR was conducted by using a formula derived by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI).

\[
eGFR = 144 \times \frac{sCr \times 0.993^{(\text{age})}}{0.7}^
\]

Equation for woman with sCr > 0.7 mg/dL:

\[
eGFR = 144 \times \frac{sCr \times 0.993^{(\text{age})}}{0.7}^
\]

Equation for man with sCr ≤ 0.9 mg/dL:

\[
eGFR = 141 \times \frac{sCr \times 0.993^{(\text{age})}}{0.9}^
\]

Equation for man with sCr > 0.9 mg/dL:

\[
eGFR = 144 \times \frac{sCr \times 0.993^{(\text{age})}}{0.9}^
\]

RESULTS

The sampling flow of this study is described as a chart in Figure 1. From the medical records and the data from the pharmacy installation at Dr. Sitanala Hospital, we obtained the total number of patients with DM at 223 patients. A total of 105 patients met the inclusion and exclusion criteria and visited the outpatient ward during the sampling period. There were 30 subjects for the first group, 30 subjects for the second group and 45 for the third group.

Characteristic Data of the Subjects

Descriptive characteristics of the study subjects are shown in Table 1. There are no differences among the three groups in term of age, gender, BMI, occupation, exercise habit and comorbidity complications. However, diet and family history of DM are different between the three groups. The mean age of the patients is 54.98 ± 7.47, with women being more dominant (71.15%). Subjects tend to be overweight where mean body mass index is 25.47 ± 4.77. Most of the study subjects have hypertension. Mean systole blood pressure of the subjects is over the normal range which was 149.89±25.92 for the insulin group, 138.40±27.36 for the sulfonylurea group and 129.81±16.21 for the sulfonylurea-metformin combination group, although the diastoles are still in the normal range. This phenomenon is described in some literatures that many of Type 2 DM patients would have hypertension as well.11 Fasting plasma glucose and 2-h post-prandial plasma glucose in the Table 1 also meet the criteria of DM on Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2013 issued by the American Diabetes Association. This standard mentions that the
Association of BMI and family history with HbA1c

A logistic regression analysis was conducted using the enter method. As shown in Table 3, it was found that subjects with obesity (BMI >30 kg/m²) has 3.268 times higher probability (p= 0.001) of having HbA1c >7, relative to underweight patients (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), after adjustment for comorbidity, diet and exercise habit.

DISCUSSION

The ratio of non-working patients (non-productive) and patients with low education (only graduated from primary school or did not complete primary school) is quite large (56.19% and 75% respectively). Both characteristics contributed as obstacles in this study. We needed to arrange persuasive discussions with the subjects to tell them that the research would not influence the treatment they would receive (no intervention) and no other consequences such as cost would imply.

The total number of patients who had a history of DM in the family was almost the same as those who did not have, which amounted to 51.43% of the total patients. However, there may be a bias in these data, because many of the subject patients and their family never visited health care facilities for DM examination since the nature of DM is often asymptomatic. On further interviews with the patients, it was also revealed many of them found out that they had hyperglycemia after a pre-operation criteria of DM for fasting plasma glucose is ≥ 126 mg/dL and 2 h post-prandial plasma glucose is ≥ 200 mg/dL. Fasting plasma glucose of the sulfonylurea-metformin combination group is within the normal range.

Comparative effectiveness of Insulin, Sulfonylurea and Sulfonylurea-Metformin combination against HbA1c and eGFR levels

HbA1c and eGFR are the clinical parameters used to compare the effectiveness of the three treatment groups. Table 3 shows the ratio of HbA1c levels of the patients which are divided into two categories; controlled levels of HbA1c (<7%) and uncontrolled levels (>7%). The eGFR values are divided into two categories; normal (≥60 mL/min/1.73m²) and those with impaired renal function (<60 mL/min/1.73m²). The analysis was made using non-parametric chi-square tests. As shown in Table 2, HbA1c of patients with the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test in the three treatment groups show at least one significant difference exists among the groups (p=0.045). To find out which groups have significant differences we used Mann-Whitney test for every two groups. The average HbA1c level of patients in the combination group (7.46 ± 1.81) is lower (p=0.042) than in insulin group (8.27 ± 1.74). According to renal function, there is no significant difference in eGFR in the three groups (p=0.476) as shown in Table 2.

Table 1: Sample characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Insulin Group (n=30)</th>
<th>Sulfonylurea Group (n=30)</th>
<th>Sulfonylurea-Metformin Group (n=45)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>51.21±8.33</td>
<td>57.83±6.70</td>
<td>55.16±6.79</td>
<td>0.178a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>25.83±4.72</td>
<td>26.54±5.50</td>
<td>24.51±4.16</td>
<td>0.255a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>8(26.67)</td>
<td>6(20.00)</td>
<td>17(37.78)</td>
<td>0.235a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22(73.33)</td>
<td>24(80.00)</td>
<td>28(62.22)</td>
<td>0.310a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>15(50.00)</td>
<td>21 (70.00)</td>
<td>23 (51.11)</td>
<td>0.303a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>13(43.33)</td>
<td>6 (20.00)</td>
<td>19 (42.22)</td>
<td>0.063a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2(6.67)</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
<td>3 (6.67)</td>
<td>0.044a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>9(30.00)</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
<td>15 (33.33)</td>
<td>0.044a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not-working</td>
<td>21 (70.00)</td>
<td>27 (90.00)</td>
<td>30 (66.67)</td>
<td>0.044a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>15(50.00)</td>
<td>21 (70.00)</td>
<td>23 (51.11)</td>
<td>0.303a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>13(43.33)</td>
<td>6 (20.00)</td>
<td>19 (42.22)</td>
<td>0.063a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2(6.67)</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
<td>3 (6.67)</td>
<td>0.044a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>15(50.00)</td>
<td>21 (70.00)</td>
<td>23 (51.11)</td>
<td>0.303a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>13(43.33)</td>
<td>6 (20.00)</td>
<td>19 (42.22)</td>
<td>0.063a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2(6.67)</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
<td>3 (6.67)</td>
<td>0.044a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family History of DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28 (93.33)</td>
<td>10 (33.33)</td>
<td>16 (35.55)</td>
<td>0.000a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 (6.67)</td>
<td>20 (66.67)</td>
<td>29 (64.44)</td>
<td>0.000a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

criteria of DM for fasting plasma glucose is ≥ 126 mg/dL and 2 h post-prandial plasma glucose is ≥ 200 mg/dL. Fasting plasma glucose of the sulfonylurea-metformin combination group is within the normal range.

A logistic regression analysis was conducted using the enter method. As shown in Table 3, it was found that subjects with obesity (BMI >30 kg/m²) has 3.268 times higher probability (p= 0.001) of having HbA1c >7, relative to underweight patients (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), after adjustment for comorbidity, diet and exercise habit.

**DISCUSSION**

The ratio of non-working patients (non-productive) and patients with low education (only graduated from primary school or did not complete primary school) is quite large (56.19% and 75% respectively). Both characteristics contributed as obstacles in this study. We needed to arrange persuasive discussions with the subjects to tell them that the research would not influence the treatment they would receive (no intervention) and no other consequences such as cost would imply.

The total number of patients who had a history of DM in the family was almost the same as those who did not have, which amounted to 51.43% of the total patients. However, there may be a bias in these data, because many of the subject patients and their family never visited health care facilities for DM examination since the nature of DM is often asymptomatic. On further interviews with the patients, it was also revealed many of them found out that they had hyperglycemia after a pre-operation
A comprehensive treatment program for people with type 2 diabetes is more important, besides choosing appropriate therapies. Better weight control management, for instance, is a significant predictor for the prevalence of diabetes in the adult U.S. population. The prevalence of diabetes among individuals in this study who had a first-degree relative with diabetes (14.3%) was significantly higher than that of individuals without a family history of diabetes (3.2%). The strength of this study is that we evaluated the efficacy of commonly prescribed antidiabetic drugs on Indonesian patients with type 2 DM and found no differences among the three groups in terms of age, gender, BMI, occupation, and exercise habit. However, there were several limitations in this study, such as the sample size which was relatively small and no data were available regarding the patient’s adherence. Further studies are suggested with a larger number of representative patients of Indonesian diabetes population with monitored compliance which are needed to validate our study result.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, insulin was not more effective compared to sulfonylureas and metformin combination or sulfonylureas monotherapy in decreasing HbA1c level, but not for eGFR, in age-, sex-, and BMI-matched type 2 DM patients in this study site. Patients with family history of DM and obesity have a significant relative risk to get uncontrolled HbA1c.

**Standard protocol on approvals, registrations, patient consents and animal protection**

This study has been registered at the Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia—Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital (No.76/UN2.F1/ETIK/2015) and the Ethical Committee of Dr. Sitanala Hospital (No. DM.04.05/1/01662/2015). Clinical and habitual information were collected using questionnaires and before taking the blood samples, subjects were kindly asked to read and sign an informed consent.
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