Evaluation of novel 1-(4-(substituted)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone derivatives as Falcipain-2 inhibitors
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ABSTRACT

Background: Malaria, an infectious disease transmitted by mosquitoes, has affected the world since the beginning of recorded human history and it remains an ensconced global health challenge even today. Among the various proteases, expressed in the life cycle of parasite, cysteine protease falcipain-2 plays a pivotal role in parasite food assimilation and inhibition of this protease cause deleterious effects on the growth of parasite. Methods: Employing a ligand-based approach, 1-(4-(substituted)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone derivatives were designed and synthesized from the starting material piperazine in a sequence of reactions. Structural assignments are based on spectral data (1H NMR, mass) and elemental analyses. The purity of the final compounds was confirmed by HPLC. The compounds were tested for their in vitro falcipain-2 inhibitor activity on recombinant falcipain-2 enzyme. Furthermore, molecular docking studies were performed using Glide 5.9 software to incur a precise picture of the active ligand at the atomic level which will be helpful in the discovery of new antimalarial drugs. Results: Among the screening results of seventeen novel entities, three compounds (6h, 6n and 6o) have showed good inhibitory activity and eleven compounds were showed weak to moderate inhibitor activity. Docking studies for these active analogues revealed that the amino acids Trp 206, Ile 85, Leu 84, Val 152 most commonly involved in hydrophobic interactions and Asn 173, Cys 42, Gln 36, amino acids involved in hydrogen bonding. Conclusion: The preliminary structure-activity relationships indicated that compound 6h, is the most potent compound from this series, and it can be used as a potential lead compound in the designing of new candidates to optimize the inhibitory potencies of this class of compounds, and potentially with potent antimalarial activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Malaria an infectious disease caused by Plasmodium parasites, among which Plasmodium falciparum is the most dangerous one, with the highest rates of complications such as cerebral malaria or severe anemia and mortality. There has never been a time when new antimalarial drugs were needed more, with an estimated 3.4 billion people are at risk of malaria, of whom 1.2 billion are at high risk. In high-risk areas, more than one malaria case occurs per 1000
in the food vacuole, confirming that this enzyme participates in digestion of hemoglobin and infectious diseases. Among the four P. falciparum cysteine proteases, falcipain-2 is the most intensely studied and infectious diseases. Control of malaria, the key compounds in Artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) is also emerging, in at least four countries of the South-East Asia region. The rapid re-emergence of resistance to all available drugs made malaria a more acute problem, hence represents a severe limitation of effective drugs in many high-risk areas. Thus, drug resistance to all existing antimalarial drugs is a cause for immediate concern. Therefore, development of novel and effective chemical class of antimalarial drugs especially compounds that act against novel biochemical targets is the better approach to deceive the problem faced by clinically used drugs. To develop such compounds, it is very important to elucidate the structural and biochemical features of new drug targets.

In the class of targets for antimalarial chemotherapy, the cysteine proteases of Plasmodium falciparum is an attractive and promising target. Proteases are druggable targets, and various protease inhibitors are now licensed as well as in clinical phase to treat different diseases for example osteoporosis, diabetes, cancer, hypertension, and infectious diseases. Among the four P. falciparum cysteine proteases, falcipain-2 is the most intensely studied enzyme and it appears to be the essential food vacuolar hemoglobinases. Studies affirmed that when falcipain-2 gene is disrupted, undegraded hemoglobin accumulates in the food vacuole, confirming that this enzyme participates in digestion of hemoglobin in the acidic food vacuole. Various research groups have investigated the cysteine protease inhibitors, which are mainly originated from peptides derivatives and having nanomolar IC₅₀ values, due to the formation of covalent bond with thiol of active site Cys42, which behaves as a Michael acceptor. The poor selectivity for parasitic cysteine proteases over the human cysteine proteases remains a noteworthy concern.

This discussion emphasizes the demand for peptidomimetic or non-peptidic analogues that would bind non-covalently to the target protein in order to reduce the toxicity, while retaining the high degree in-vivo activity and selectivity. Over the past many years, our group identified potent antimalarial targeting orotidine-5'-monophosphate decarboxylase (ODCase) paving the way toward non-covalent antimalarial therapeutic agents. Herein, we report design, synthesis and in-vitro evaluation of a novel series of 1-(4-(substituted) piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino) ethanone derivatives 6(a-q) as potential non-covalent inhibitors of cysteine protease falcipain-2. Further, we utilized docking tools for the most active compounds to investigate their interactions and binding affinities in the falcipain-2 active site.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Buchi 530 melting point apparatus (open capillary tubes), was used to compute melting points and were uncorrected. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (Silica gel-60 F₂₅₄). Compounds were detected by their absorption under UV light. Bruker DPX spectrometer operating at 400 MHz in CDCl₃ or DMSO-d₆ solvent, with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard, was used to record ¹H NMR spectra. Chemical shifts were reported in δ ppm; the J values are expressed in Hertz (Hz). Purity of the synthesized final compounds was determined by Waters™ LC–MS system using a XBridge semipreparative C₁₈ column (19.2 mm x 150 mm, 5 µm). Mass spectra (ESI) were recorded on a Waters™ LC/MS system equipped with a Waters™ 3100 mass detector. Elemental analyses were performed on a PE-2400 elemental analyzer; the C, H and N analysis were repeated duplicate. All solvents and reagents were obtained commercially from Aldrich, Fluka, Spectrochem and S.D. fine.

Synthesis of N-Boc-piperazine (2)

In a 500 ml round bottom flask, compound 1 (10 g, 116.1 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (100 mL). To this, water (2 mL) and a solution of trifluoroacetic acid (8.9 mL, 116.1 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) were added at 0-5°C; the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. To the above solution, di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (26.6 mL, 116.1 mmol) was added followed by iodine (10 mol %). The reaction mixture was again stirred at room temperature for 3 h; On completion of the reaction, solvent was removed under vacuum. To the obtained residue, 5% sodium thiosulphate solution (15 mL) was added and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 50 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude compound 2 was purified by flash chromatography and pure product obtained as a colourless liquid. Yield: 60%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 3.22 (m, 4H), 2.61 (m, 4H), 1.38 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of tert-butyl 4-(2-chloroacetyl) piperazine-1-carboxylate (3)

To a solution of 2 (4 g, 21.4 mmol), di-isopropylethylamine (5.6 mL, 32.2 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (200 mL) were taken in a round bottom flask (500 mL) at 0°C. To this
reaction mixture, chloroacetyl chloride (1.7 mL, 21.4 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min; quenched with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate (10 mL) and washed with water (2 x 50 mL). The organic layer was separated and dried over sodium sulfate followed by evaporation of the solvent to get a crude compound 3; which was purified by column chromatography and obtained as a white solid. Yield: 70%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-δ)  δ: 4.39 (s, 2H), 3.44 (m, 4H), 3.32 (s, 4H), 1.40 (s, 9H).

**Synthesis of tert-butyl 4-(2-(phenylamino)acetyl) piperazine-1-carboxylate (4)**

To a solution of compound 3 (2.5 g, 9.5 mmol), in anhydrous acetonitrile (25 mL), K₂CO₃ (3.9 g, 28.6 mmol), and aniline (0.95 ml, 10.4 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h at 100°C; completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC. Solvent was removed under vacuum, and organic compound was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium bicarbonate and evaporated under reduced pressure, and organic compound was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 50 mL). The organic layer was separated and dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated under vacuum, and organic compound was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate (twice) and brine solution (once). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated under reduced pressure, and the obtained crude product was purified by column chromatography.

1-(4-(Benzylo)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6a)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.67 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.64 (m, 4H), 3.41 (m, 4H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₁₂N₂O₂: 70.75; H, 6.55; N, 12.99; found C, 70.42; H, 6.49; N, 12.95. MS (ESI): m/z 324.1 (M+1)⁺.

1-(4-(Fluorobenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6b)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.24 (m, 3H), 7.15 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (m, 1H), 6.62 (m, 2H), 3.98 (s, 2H), 3.90 (m, 4H), 3.55 (m, 4H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₁₂FNO₂: 70.75; H, 6.55; N, 12.99; found C, 70.42; H, 6.49; N, 12.95. MS (ESI): m/z 336.2 (M+1)⁺.

1-(4-(2-Methylbenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6c)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.75 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.73 (m, 5H), 3.55 (m, 3H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₁₂NO₂: 66.77; H, 5.94; N, 12.34; found C, 66.77; H, 5.94; N, 12.34. MS (ESI): m/z 342.2 (M+1)⁺.

1-(4-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6d)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.43 (m, 4H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.73 (m, 3H), 3.50 (m, 7H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₈ClNO₂: 66.77; H, 5.63; N, 11.74; found C, 63.78; H, 5.64; N, 11.71. MS (ESI): m/z 357.1 (M⁺) and 358.2 (M+1)⁺.

1-(4-(4-Fluorobenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6e)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.75 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.73 (m, 3H), 3.50 (m, 7H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₈FNO₂: 66.77; H, 5.63; N, 11.74; found C, 63.78; H, 5.64; N, 11.71. MS (ESI): m/z 373.1 (M⁺) and 374.2 (M+1)⁺.

1-(4-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6f)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.75 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.73 (m, 3H), 3.50 (m, 7H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₈ClNO₂: 66.77; H, 5.63; N, 11.74; found C, 63.78; H, 5.64; N, 11.71. MS (ESI): m/z 392.1 (M+1)⁺.

1-(4-(2-Methylbenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6g)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃)  δ: 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.17 (m, 3H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (m, 1H), 6.57 (m, 2H), 3.89 (s, 2H), 3.78 (m, 2H), 3.32 (m, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H). Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₁₀N₂O₂: 71.19; H, 6.87; N, 12.45; found C, 71.15;
H, 6.71; N, 12.44. MS (ESI): m/z 338.1 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(3-Methylbenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6g)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.18 (m, 6H), 6.68 (t, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.62 (m, 4H), 3.40 (m, 4H), 2.32 (s, 3H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\): C, 71.19; H, 6.87; N, 12.45; found C, 71.15; H, 6.71; N, 12.44. MS (ESI): m/z 338.1 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(4-Methylbenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6h)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.12 (m, 2H), 6.68 (t, \(J = 5.2\) Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.63 (m, 4H) 3.42 (m, 4H), 2.33 (s, 3H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\): C, 71.19; H, 6.87; N, 12.45; found C, 71.15; H, 6.81; N, 12.44. MS (ESI): m/z 338.2 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(2-Methoxybenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6i)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.41 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.04 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 6.74 (m, 1H), 6.64 (m, 2H), 3.97 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.64 (m, 4H), 3.34 (m, 4H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\): C, 67.97; H, 6.56; N, 11.89; found C, 67.87; H, 6.54; N, 11.84. MS (ESI): m/z 354.1 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(3-Methoxybenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6j)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.27 (t, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.13 (m, 2H), 6.88 (m, 3H), 6.67 (t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.70 (m, 4H), 3.45 (m, 4H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\): C, 67.97; H, 6.56; N, 11.89; found C, 67.98; H, 6.54; N, 11.81. MS (ESI): m/z 354.1 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6k)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.43 (m, 2H), 7.23 (m, 2H), 6.96 (m, 2H), 6.77 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, \(J = 3.6\) Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.73 (m, 4H), 3.66 (m, 4H), 3.54 (m, 2H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\): C, 67.97; H, 6.56; N, 11.89; found C, 67.98; H, 6.53; N, 11.84. MS (ESI): m/z 354.1 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(2-Ethylbenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6l)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.39 (m, 1H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 6.94 (t, \(J = 8.2\) Hz, 1H), 6.79 (m, 1H), 6.67 (m, 2H), 3.90 (m, 1H), 3.71 (m, 2H), 3.58 (m, 2H), 3.42 (m, 2H), 3.28 (m, 1H), 1.41 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 3H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{25}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\): C, 68.64; H, 6.86; N, 11.44; found C, 68.62; H, 6.84; N, 11.40; MS (ESI): m/z 368.2 (M+1)^+.

1-(4-(3-Ethylbenzoyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone (6m)

\(^1^H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): δ 7.27 (t, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 1H), 6.88 (m, 4H), 6.67 (m, 1H), 6.56 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 3.68 (m, 4H), 3.55 (m, 4H), 2.78 (q, \(J = 7.6\) Hz 2H), 1.28 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 3H). Anal. calcd for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{25}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\): C, 71.77; H, 7.17; N, 11.96; found C, 71.77; H, 7.20; N, 11.84; MS (ESI): m/z 352.2 (M+1)^+.

Enzyme assay

The inhibitory potency of seventeen novel molecules...
were analyzed by their ability to block the activity of falcipain-2. The protocol for the purification and refolding of recombinant protein falcipain-2 was followed. The assays were performed in 96-well plates, following a protocol described. In short, a mixture containing 10 mM DTT, 100 mM NaOAc, 6 μM of the enzyme and different concentrations of inhibitors, pH 5.5, 10 mM of fluorogenic substrate benzyloxy carbonyl-Phe-Arg-7-amino-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride (ZFR-AMC) was added. The FP-2 activity is assessed by release of 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) (excitation 355 nm; emission 460 nm) over 30 min at RT in Perkin Elmer Victor3 multi-label counter. Change in fluorescence intensity in a sample indicates inhibition of enzyme activity. The IC₅₀ values were calculated based on curve fittings by software workout V 2.5.

The enzyme, remaining activity was determined as a percentage of the uninhibited reaction. The remaining activity data was plotted versus inhibitor concentration and fitted to the dose-response equation to compute IC₅₀. The data points were fitted to the following equation:

\[ y = \frac{\text{Range}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\text{IC}_{50}}\right)^s} \]  

Equation 1

In this equation, Range is the fitted uninhibited value, \( s \) is a slope factor.

**Docking Studies**

Molecular modeling studies are required to generate molecular models that assimilate all experimental evidences reported. Considering the well retrieved in vitro results, it was thought worthy to perform in silico studies for all newly synthesized molecules 6(a-q). Glide v5.9 (Schrodinger, Inc.) running on maestro version 4.9, was used to investigate the binding mode of the molecules. The crystal structure of falcipain-2 (FP-2) was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB entry: 3bpf) with a resolution of 2.9 Å. The conserved catalytic site residues of Cys 42, Asn 173 and His 174 is located in a junction between the structurally distinct domains. Gln 36, Ser 41 and Asn 81 are conserved amino acids participate in the formation of additional hydrogen bond with substrate. Initially, the typical steps (i) addition of hydrogen atoms and atomic charges, (ii) elimination of crystallographic water molecules from the coordinate set, using the Protein Preparation Wizard in Maestro v9.4 were included in the docking procedure. Energy was minimized with Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) value of 0.3 Å using Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulations-2005 (OPLS-2005) force field. Potential of non-polar parts of receptors was softened by scaling van der Walls radii of ligand atoms by 1.00 Å to generate the grid. The initial 3D structures of the analogues 6(a-q) were generated using chemsketch and optimized with the help of LigPrep module. In the docking process, extra-precision (XP) docking mode was adopted to generate the minimized poses, and the Glide scoring function (G-Score) was referred to select at most ten poses for compounds 6(a-q) upon visualized observation.

**RESULTS**

Based on our designed three component pharmacophore model, a novel series 1-(4-(substituted)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone derivatives as falcipain-2 inhibitors were designed and synthesized. All newly synthesized compounds 6(a-q) were evaluated for their in vitro inhibitor activities against falcipain-2 enzyme (FP-2) using the fluorometric assay and the results are summarized in Table 1. Results obtained from the screening of these derivatives against falcipain-2 enzyme indicates that, compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (μM)</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>-H</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>6j</td>
<td>m-OCH₃</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>o-F</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>6k</td>
<td>p-OCH₃</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>p-F</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6l</td>
<td>o-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>p-Cl</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>m-CH₃CH₂</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>p-CF₃</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6n</td>
<td>p-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>o-CH₃</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>6o</td>
<td>o-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td>m-CH₃</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>6p</td>
<td>m-CH₃CH₂</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>p-CH₃</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>6q</td>
<td>p-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6i</td>
<td>o-OCH₃</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are means of three independent experiments and SD is <5% NA: No inhibition
containing electron releasing substituents on phenyl nucleus showed weak to moderate antimalarial activity as compared to the generated pharmacophores holding with the electron withdrawing groups except compound 6b. Moreover, it was found that compounds containing methyl and ethylene groups as electron releasing substituent on phenyl nucleus at 4th position, produced most potent compounds as evidenced by in-vitro activity results (Table 1). Overall, three compounds (6h, 6n, and 6o) showed good inhibitory activity, and among them 6h was found as a most potent compound. However, to get the conclusive results, plasmodia study has to be done, which will be the futuristic extension of the work.

In silico studies revealed that all the synthesized molecules showed glide score (a model energy function) toward the target protein ranging from −5.89 to −3.82 kcal/mol and compounds 6h, 6n, and 6o showed minimum binding energies. On comparison of interacting residues of these active analogues (6h, 6n, 6o) showed that, amino acids such as Trp 206, Ile 85, Leu 84, Val 152, Trp 43; three hydrogen bonds, Gln 36 (2.43 Å), Asn 173 (2.11 Å), Cys 42 (2.57 Å). Compound 6n showed five hydrophobic interactions, Trp 206, Ile 85, Leu 84, Val 152, Ala 175; three hydrogen bonds, Gln 36 (2.31 Å), Asn 173 (2.26 Å), Cys 42 (2.62 Å). Compound 6o showed six hydrophobic interactions, Trp 206, Ile 85, Leu 84, Val 152, Ala 175, Leu 172; three hydrogen bonds, Hip 174 (2.11 Å), Gln 83 (2.51 Å), Gln 36 (2.32 Å). Docking analysis revealed that hydrogen bond (HB) formation and hydrophobic interactions are the most important factors affecting inhibitory action of compounds. To validate the docking model, co-crystallized ligand E-64 was removed from the active site and subjected to redocked it back into the binding pocket of the enzyme.

**DISCUSSION**

The broad specificity of cysteine proteases creates a challenge for designing of inhibitors that are potentially selective in nature. In our drug designing strategy, we have selected few existing potential falcipain-2 inhibitors (IC₅₀ value range from 1 to 10.9 µM) and composed a basic core structure by mimicking with chain length which was depicted in Figure 2. The core features of the selected structures were: a) hydrophobic moiety; commonly an aromatic residue, b) aromatic moiety (monocyclic/bicyclic), and c) linker; hydrogen bond donor and acceptor atom(s), which attached the hydrophobic moiety to the aromatic residue.

**Table 2**: Docking simulations results for the most active compounds (6h, 6n and 6o) using Glide software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>G-score</th>
<th>Lipophilic EvdW</th>
<th>H bond</th>
<th>XP Electro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>-5.897</td>
<td>-3.891</td>
<td>-1.798</td>
<td>-0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6n</td>
<td>-5.508</td>
<td>-3.743</td>
<td>-1.797</td>
<td>-0.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6o</td>
<td>-5.370</td>
<td>-3.930</td>
<td>-1.577</td>
<td>-0.528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 (A-C): Predicted binding poses of 6h, 6n and 6o respectively docked to chain A of falcipain-II protein (3BPF.pdb). The red dashed line represents the possible hydrogen bond and green dashed line represents the possible hydrophobic interaction (D) Superimposition of the docked conformations of compounds 6h, 6n and 6o in the active site of FP-2. All pictures are drawn using PyMol.
Figure 2: Chemical structures of some existing falcipain-2 inhibitors. (Green Color: Representing hydrophobic moiety; commonly an aromatic group, Red Color: Representing an aromatic residue (monocyclic/bicyclic), Blue Color: Representing the numbers of hydrogen bond donor and acceptor atoms).

Figure 3 (A-B): (A) Pharmacophore model (B) Basic structure for FP-2 inhibitors.

Table 3: Physical constants of final synthesized compounds 6(a-q).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Molecular weight</th>
<th>% Yield</th>
<th>m.p. in °C</th>
<th>Log Pd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>-H</td>
<td>323.3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>141-143</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>o-F</td>
<td>341.3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>146-149</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>p-F</td>
<td>341.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>127-129</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>p-Cl</td>
<td>357.8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>134-136</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>p-CF₃</td>
<td>391.3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>130-132</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>o-CH₃</td>
<td>337.4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>132-134</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td>m-CH₃</td>
<td>337.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>118-120</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>p-CH₃</td>
<td>337.4</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>142-144</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6i</td>
<td>o-OCH₃</td>
<td>353.4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>139-141</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6j</td>
<td>m-OCH₃</td>
<td>353.4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>122-124</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6k</td>
<td>p-OCH₃</td>
<td>353.4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>130-132</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6l</td>
<td>o-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>351.4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>130-132</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6m</td>
<td>m-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>351.4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>116-118</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6n</td>
<td>p-CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>351.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>144-146</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6o</td>
<td>o-OCH₂CH₃</td>
<td>367.4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>124-126</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6p</td>
<td>m-OCH₂CH₃</td>
<td>367.4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>120-122</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6q</td>
<td>p-OCH₂CH₃</td>
<td>367.4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>122-124</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(R) Yields are refers to isolated pure compounds. (d) Log P values are calculated by using JME 4 Molecular Editor (Courtesy of Peter Erl; Novartis)
The pharmacophoric distance among the hydrophobic group and aromatic residue ranges between 9 to 14 Å and Log P values of these compounds varies in between 2 to 5. The hydrogen bond acceptor (range; 2-6) and hydrogen bond donor (range; 0-2) atom(s), are present as either alicyclic/heterocyclic or open chain form. After examining these common features towards the design of a basic backbone as a falcipain-2 inhibitor, a three component pharmacophore model has been built which was shown in Figure 3 A. Further, a series of novel falcipain-2 inhibitors were designed and synthesized as represented by the basic structure in Figure 3 B.

Structural features such as hydrogen bond donors, hydrogen bond acceptors of the designed compounds, complied with the pharmacophoric model. The minimum energy conformation (three least energy conformations for each compound) of each designed molecule was generated by ACDLABS-10.0/3D Viewer, and the pharmacophoric distances were measured from centroid of aromatic residue to the centroid of hydrophobic residue. The computed distances between the pharmacophoric components of all the designed compounds are in accordance with the mentioned pharmacophoric model. Lipophilicity is an key parameter to be considered, while designing compound to display drug-like profile. Therefore, Log P values of all the designed compounds were computed using JME 4 Molecular Editor (Courtesy of Peter Ertl; Novartis; Table 3). Further, for better pharmacokinetics (absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion) profile all the molecules were designed according to Lipinski's rules of five. Thus, synthesis of a series of 1-(4-(substituted) piperazin-1-yl)-2-(phenylamino)ethanone derivatives as FP-2 inhibitors were pursued with an intent to examine the anti-malarial activity of these compounds.

The target compounds were synthesized as depicted in Scheme 1. Intermediate compound 5 was synthesized in multi-gram scale from the starting material piperazine in a sequence of reactions. Initially, N-Boc piperazine 2 was prepared by reaction between piperazine and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate. Chloroacetyl chloride was subjected to nucleophilic substitution reaction with intermediate 2, furnished the compound 3. This intermediate was reacted with aniline, which afforded compound 4. On subsequent, deprotection of intermediate 4 with trifluoroacetic acid, the key intermediate, 2-(phenylamino)-1-(piperazin-1-yl)ethanone (5) was obtained. The final compounds were synthesized from intermediate 5, by coupling with appropriate carboxylic acids in the presence of 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) under nitrogen atmosphere. Synthesized compounds were isolated, purified and characterized by 1H NMR, mass spectroscopy, HPLC and elemental analyses. The analytical and spectral data of the compounds were found to comply with the structure of the synthesized compounds.
Initial screening of two compounds 6a and 6b showed IC\textsubscript{50} values of 80.2 μM and 90.7 μM respectively. E-64 (N-(trans-Epoxysuccinyl)-L-leucine 4-guanidinobutylamide, Figure 4) was used as a reference drug in the assay.\textsuperscript{30} In a bid, to see the effect of different substituent on the phenyl ring and generate structure activity relationships, a series of compounds with electron withdrawing as well as electron donating groups were introduced at different positions in the phenyl ring, synthesized and screened. The effect of the fluorine group, an electron withdrawing substituent was explored at 4 position of the phenyl ring (compound 6c), the generated compound showed no inhibition. A similar response was observed after replacement of an electron withdrawing group with chloro and trifluoromethyl substituents at 4 position of the aromatic ring (compounds 6d and 6e respectively).

Subsequently, methyl group, a weaker electron releasing group was introduced at 2, 3 and 4 positions of the phenyl ring, the resultant compounds (6f, 6g and 6h) exhibited more inhibition than the hit compound 6a. It is remarkable that the inhibitory activity of compound 6h increased ~2 times than that of compound 6b (IC\textsubscript{50} decreases from 90.7 μM down to 45.1 μM, Table 1). Incorporation of another electron releasing methoxy group at 2, 3 and 4 positions of the phenyl ring resulted compounds (6i, 6j and 6k) exhibited moderately improved inhibition than the compound 6a. Further, replacement of the methoxy group with its higher homologue, i.e., ethoxy group at position 2, which is compound 6o (IC\textsubscript{50} value 49.1 μM) showed higher potency than the hit compound 6a. However, investigation of the ethoxy group at 3 and 4 positions to get compounds 6p, 6q of the phenyl ring showed lesser potency (IC\textsubscript{50} value; >100 μM), as compared to the hit compound. Although compounds 6l (ethyl at position 2) and 6m (ethyl at position 3) had the same electron releasing group at different positions in the phenyl ring, they have showed lower potency (IC\textsubscript{50} value >100 μM) compared to compound 6a. Followed by, attachment of ethyl group at 4 position (compound 6n) of the phenyl ring, improves the inhibition activity as compared to its regio-isomer compounds 6l and 6m.

In order to understand the difference in the activity of the hit molecule (6h) with the inactive compounds (6c, 6d and 6o), their binding mode and interaction studies of different poses were analyzed. It was found that compounds which are inactive, exhibited single hydrogen bond interaction either with Asp 173 (6c, 6d), or Gln 36 (6e), compared to the hit compound 6h, which showed strong hydrogen bond interaction with Asn 173, Cys 42, Gln 36 (Figure 1 A-C). Moreover, there was a significant difference in the two scoring functions, such as Lipophilic EvdW (-3.42 to -2.95) and XP electro (-0.501 to -0.244) of the inactive compounds versus potent compound (Table 2), which can create a major difference in the docking score (drug-protein interactions) and may be responsible for the significant variation in biological activity.

**CONCLUSION**

In the present study, we reported the design, synthesis and screening of 1,4 substituted piperazine derivatives as novel falcipain-2 inhibitors. The structures of the compounds were assigned on the basis of \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, mass spectroscopy and elemental analysis. From the \textit{in-vitro} data, on close perlustration and analysis, it was found that three compounds (6h, 6n, and 6o) showed good inhibitory activity. Compound 6h is the most potent compounds from this series and it can be used as potential lead compound in the designing of new candidates to incur clinically significant anti-malarial agents. Further, pharmacological experiments will be required to evaluate the significance of these findings to malaria infection in red blood cells both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. Thus, the present approach could be an excellent starting point for the discovery of new parasitic cysteine protease inhibitors, and in general, for the development of a new class of drugs for treating malaria.
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