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ABSTRACT
Objective: To evaluate prevalence, types and severity of drug-drug interactions in paediatric outpatient department. Methods: An observational study was carried out in Shree Krishna Hospital (SKH), a tertiary care teaching hospital. The study sample consisted of 300 prescriptions for children between the ages of one month to 10 years. Potential drug-drug interactions (pDDIs) were identified using Medalogic drug interaction checker. The prescriptions were analysed for demographic characteristics, medical and detailed drug history. pDDIs were evaluated for total numbers, types and severity of DDIs. Result: Total 300 prescriptions were analysed. Total 96 pDDIs were recorded. The prevalence of pDDI was 16%. Most common pDDI was Valproic acid + Acetaminophen. There were 61 (63.54%) DDIs of pharmacokinetic, 24 (25%) pharmacodynamic and 11 (11.46%) had an unknown mechanism. Out of all interactions, 41 (42.71%) were of minor, 48 (50%) were of moderate and 07 (7.29%) were of severe in nature. The number of drugs prescribed and the occurrence of DDIs were significantly associated. (p<0.001).
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INTRODUCTION

Modern recent advances in different pharmacotherapies have helped doctors to improve quality of life of patients. But with number of different groups of drugs available for certain conditions, selecting a proper drug has become a bigger problem. As a result, total number of drugs use are increasing. These drugs not always produce desirable effects, they can sometimes lead to unfavourable therapeutic effects. One of this unfavourable effect is potential drug-drug interactions. (DDIs). When a drug combination could lead to an unexpected change in the condition of the patient, this would be described as an interaction of potential clinical significance.1 Drug-drug interactions represent an important and widely under- recognized source of medication errors. DDIs responsible for 23% of hospital admission.2,3,4 Potential DDI is the qualitative or quantitative change in the effect of a drug either pharmacokinetically or pharmacodynamically. Pharmacodynamic interaction, involves receptor effects of different agents which interact to produce synergism or antagonism of drug effects. In pharmacokinetic interaction, the blood levels of given agents may be increased or decreased based on the potential to alter the pattern of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. According to severity, drug interactions may be major interactions which may be life threatening. For moderate additional treatment, hospitalization, or extension of hospital stay may be necessary. And minor interactions, no additional treatment required. Potential drug-drug interactions are those that can be predicted from the known pharmacological properties of the drugs involved. However, not all potential drug interactions necessarily have actual clinically significant consequences, particularly when drugs with wide therapeutic windows are involved. For example, many pharmacokinetic interactions, like drug displacement from plasma protein-binding sites, are often clinically unimportant. Also, potential drug-drug interactions do not necessarily occur in all patients, involving only subjects with specific characteristics, such as extensive or poor metabolizers of CYP isoenzyme.5 Majority of the drug-drug interaction studies involved adult patients. Studies revealed that paediatrics patients are at higher risk to drug-induced problems because of many factors such as wide-ranging of patient ages and body-weights, limited physiologic reserve, calculation errors in medications dosing, and incapability to properly communicate with healthcare professionals.6 Therefore, there is an utmost need to gather data regarding drug-drug interactions in paediatric population.7 The study initiated with an aim to evaluate prevalence, types of drug-drug interaction and severity of drug-drug interactions in paediatric outpatient department of a tertiary care teaching hospital.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross sectional observational study was carried out in paediatric outpatient department of Shree Krishna Hospital (SKH), a tertiary care teaching hospital after obtaining ethical approval from institutional ethics committee. Study began after getting permission from head of paediatric department to collect online prescriptions. In SKH outpatient prescriptions are uploaded on software “Solace”, and these online prescriptions were collected from IT (information technology) department for the study. All the patients visiting at paediatric outpatient department of a tertiary care teaching hospital was included in the study. The patients
whose prescription contain only one drug were excluded. (Food-drug interaction and herbal drug interactions are not checked). Confidentiality about patients’ identification was maintained.

Total 300 online prescription were collected. All the prescriptions were selected by simple randomization. Demographic data (age, gender, and body weight), medical history, co-morbidities and drug related data (name of drug, dose, duration, frequency, route and concomitant medication) were recorded in a specially designed proforma.

All collected prescriptions were evaluated for DDIs by the online Medscape drug interaction checker.7 DDI were also checked by referring standard text books of pharmacology (Goodman and Gilman’s The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 12th edition). The prescriptions were analysed for different variables of DDIs like total numbers of interactions, types and severity. All the significant DDIs were classified based on mechanism like pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetic and unknown. The severity of DDIs was classified as minor, moderate and serious.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, mean, standard deviation was applied for analysing the data. The Statistical software namely SPSS 20.0 and Stata 14 were used for the analysis of the data and Microsoft word and Excel had been used to generate graphs, tables etc.

RESULTS

The study population was classified in five different age groups, 0–1 month (1.33%), 2 months - 1 year (24.67%), 2–6 years (31.33%), 7–12 years (24.33%) and 13–18 years (18.33%). Mean age of patient was 6.33 ± 5.24 years. Among them total 133 (44.33%) were females and 167 (55.67%) were males. All 300 prescriptions had one morbidity per prescription. The most common morbidity was gastrointestinal and chemotherapy (n=63) followed by respiratory (n=59), genitourinary (n=25).

Among all the prescriptions, total 857 number of drugs were prescribed. Maximum prescriptions 44.33% (n=133) had two drugs per prescription followed by 37% (n=111) had three drugs per prescription and 12.67% (n=38) had four drugs per prescription. Maximum eight drugs were prescribed in only one prescription.

Total 96 DDIs were observed. An average of 2.85 ± 1.04 drugs was prescribed per prescription with an average of 0.32 ± 0.93 potential DDIs were observed. No direct correlation was observed between the age of the patient and the number of drugs prescribed, the age of the patient and the number of DDIs. However, the number of drugs prescribed and the occurrence of DDIs were significantly associated (r = 0.3458, p < 0.001). A positive correlation was observed as the number of DDIs increases as the number of prescribed drug increases.

Out of 300 prescriptions, 252 (84%) prescriptions had no drug–drug interactions. Maximum number of prescriptions, 26 (8.67%) had one drug–drug interaction, followed by 10 (3.33%) prescriptions had three interactions and 06 (2%) prescriptions had four interactions. Most number of DDIs in a single prescription was six, which were recorded in three prescriptions.

DDIs were classified based on mechanism like pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetic and unknown. [Figure 1]

Maximum interactions 48 (50%) were of moderate severity followed by 41 (42.71%) were of minor severity and 07 (7.29%) were of severe severity. Most frequently involved serious DDI was Rifampin + Pyrazinamide (n=3) followed by Rifampin + Isoniazid (n=2), Ferrous Fumarate + Levofloxacine (n=1) and Fentanyl + Propofol (n=1). Other most commonly involved moderate DDIs were Chlorpheniramine + Phenylephrine (n=6), Ferrous fumarate + Ciprofloxacin (n=3) and Ferrous sulphate +

Pharmacokinetic drug–drug interactions

Out of all 24 pharmacokinetic interactions, 13 showed pharmacodynamic antagonism. Among them one interaction showed an alteration of serum potassium level in two prescriptions, to increase risk of hepatotoxicity in five prescriptions, increase toxicity of one drug in one prescription, prolong QTc interval in one prescription, increase sedative effect by both drugs in two prescriptions, decrease sedative effect by both drugs in two prescriptions. [Table 2]

A total of 11 interactions showed pharmacodynamic antagonism. Among them one interaction showed an alteration of serum potassium level, ten interactions showed an alteration in sedative effect. [Table 3]

Of all 96 DDIs, Chlorpheniramine + Phenytoine (n=6) and Valproic Acid + Acetaminophen (n=6) were most commonly observed interactions.

DISCUSSION

Drug – drug interactions are one of the main causes of adverse reactions related to medications, which is responsible for 23% of hospital admission and also associated with increased health care costs.2 Data regarding to prevalence, type and severity of DDIs in paediatric age group in Indian set up is limited. The present study was conducted with aim and objective to identify and evaluate the drug-drug interactions in paediatric outpatient department.

In present study prevalence of DDIs were more in male as compare to females which was contrast to the study done by Feinstein J et al10 showed equal occurrence of DDIs in male and female. It suggests that their prevalence could differ in individual gender.
Drug combinations showing synergistic pharmacodynamic drug-drug interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacodynamic DDIs on effect of electrolyte balance</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D + Calcium Carbonate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacodynamics DDIs with increased risk of toxicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased risk of hepatotoxicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin + Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid + Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase toxicity of other drug</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl + Propofol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolongation of QTc interval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyzine + Azithromycin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase sedative effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpheniramine + Hydroxyzine</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol + Midazolam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease sedative effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine + Phenylephrine</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drug combinations showing antagonistic pharmacodynamic drug-drug interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluctuation in serum potassium level</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Chloride + Levalbuterol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alter sedative effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpheniramine + Phenylephrine</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpheniramine + Caffeine</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorpheniramine + levalbuterol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A retrospective study by Lin et al. in outpatients in Taiwan emphasized that polypharmacy increases the risk of DDIs. In present study, maximum number of patients prescribed two drugs per prescription. Whereas, study done in Pakistan, maximum number of patients had prescribed 4-6 drugs per prescription. The potential risk of DDIs increases with an increase number of drugs prescribed. Thus polypharmacy should be avoided to minimize the risk of DDIs.

In present study, the overall prevalence of DDIs was 16% out of all DDIs. Prevalence rate was lower in compare to studies conducted by Feinstein et al. and Qorraj-Bytyqi et al., they reported that paediatric patients exposed to DDI were 49% and 23% respectively.

DDI severity criteria enhances decision making ability by assessing risk versus benefit alternatives. Minor drug interactions do not result in any troublesome outcomes and management usually not required. Moderate drug interactions could result worsening in clinical condition of patient. Treatment to manage such type of interactions could be considered. Major drug interactions could lead to life threatening condition; therefore it should be considered essential to counter such problems as soon as they are identified.

Major drug interactions could lead to life threatening condition; therefore it should be considered essential to counter such problems as soon as they are identified. In present study maximum interactions were moderate in nature followed by mild and serious interactions. The study conducted by Oshikoya KA found most DDIs were major and serious followed by moderate and clinically significant, which was different to the present study. In these cases, vigorous monitoring of prescriptions and awareness of interactions is needed to prevent the side effects which indirectly reduce the cost of the therapy.

In present study, most of the interactions were pharmacokinetic, followed by pharmacodynamic interactions. There were few interactions which were having unknown mechanism, which was similar to the study conducted by Get chew H et al. Drug interactions can alter the absorptions in terms of either increased or decreased absorption, altered gastric emptying and altered gut flora. Altered absorption due to DDIs can reduce the concentrations of another drug. The most common interactions which leads to increase in absorption of the other drug were detected with prokinetics, iron salts, calcium supplements and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

As these are the most frequently prescribing drugs, careful selection of drugs is always necessary to reduce the risk of toxicity. The decrease level or effect of the other drug by decreasing gastric absorption, increase absorption of the other drug, which was commonly detected with H2 blockers, fluoroquinolones, macrolides, and proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and iron salts. The rate and extent of absorption may be important, in the case of drugs given in single doses where a threshold concentration for drug effect exists (e.g. analgesics, antibiotics). A delay in absorption in these circumstances, especially if the rate of elimination of the drug is high, may result in failure of therapeutic efficacy or drug resistance.

In drug displacement interaction, there is a reduction in extent of plasma protein binding of one drug by the presence of another which competes for the same binding sites. In this study, potential alteration of distribution of one drug by another drug by plasma protein binding competition
was most common between Anti-epileptics. These drugs have high plasma protein binding, and drug displacement reactions are more common with drugs having high plasma protein binding. Such drug displacement can result in toxicity and the effects of such interactions may need to be taken into account in therapeutic drug monitoring.

The clinical significance of increased drug metabolism is decreased plasma level of the co-administered drug. Similarly decrease metabolism of other drug resultant increase in level of slowly metabolised drug and prolongation of its effect. In both the cases alteration in dosing and close monitoring is required to avoid unnecessary ADRs. A potential chance of increased metabolism was observed between groups of drugs like anti-epileptics, anti-tubercular drugs and NSAIDs. And some of the group of drugs were observed to decrease metabolism of other drugs like anti-epileptics, NSAIDs and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs).

DDIs can lead to change in metabolism of other drugs, own metabolism or particular drug by either enzyme induction or inhibition. The Cytochrome P 450 (CYP450) enzymes plays an important role in the biotransformation of a wide number of drugs. Many drugs that undergo CYP mediated oxidative biotransformation is responsible for the large number of clinically significant DDIs during multiple drug therapy. In present study the most common CYP450 enzyme involved in the interactions were CYP2C9 followed by CYP2C19 and CYP2E1. Genetic polymorphism of CYP plays an important role in therapeutic effect of drug treatment. It signifies that the therapeutic drug monitoring in patients with multiple drug treatment and in vitro techniques can predict the role of CYP enzymes polymorphism, and decrease the occurrence of DDIs.

Majority of the interactions involving elimination or excretion process occur due to change in urinary pH, changes in active renal tubule excretion and different drug transporter protein. Increase in excretion of one drug by another drug was detected between steroids and calcium supplements. Similarly decrease in excretion of another drug was detected between groups of drugs as cephalosporins with NSAIDs and with different antacids. DDIs due to altered excretion process, can lead to toxicity or sub therapeutic effect.

The pharmacodynamic interaction of a drug can be altered by competition at receptors, and non-receptor. Pharmacodynamics interactions can occur when two drugs have similar actions through different cellular mechanisms. In present study almost 25% of interactions from total, observed due to pharmacodynamic changes either by synergism or antagonism.

Evidence of electrolyte disturbance like hypercalcemia was also observed in present study by group of drugs like vitamin D and calcium salts. Ethiopian study by Admassie et al. also observed the similar results. The concurrent use of vitamin D with calcium salts is generally beneficial, in some patients this combination may result in hypercalcemia.

Another risk of toxicity like hepatotoxicity was observed by anti-tubercular drugs. A meta-analysis also suggest that combination of anti-tubercular drugs are associated with higher rate of hepatotoxicity. Possible mechanism is due to rifampin enhances the metabolism of isoniazid to hepatotoxic metabolites. In such cases, close monitoring of each individual and dose adjustment is recommended.

There was a pharmacodynamics interactions leading to increase in QTc interval. In present study the drugs causing prolongation of QTc interval was macrolide and anti-histaminic. These interactions led to the restriction or withdrawal of many drugs in different countries. The underlying mechanism is thought to involve drug-induced blockade of the repolarizing potassium channels, particularly the inward rectifier, causing prolongation of the action potential and duration and early after-depolarization. Prolongation of the QTc interval can cause torsades de pointes arrhythmia, which can lead to ventricular fibrillation and sudden death. To avoid such serious interactions these types of combinations should be avoided or replaced with another drug.

One of the beneficial antagonistic effect observed was altered in serum potassium level by the drugs. The commonest group of drugs involved was β-adrenergic receptor agonist and potassium chloride. Kapadia J et al. had observed the same pattern of beneficial antagonist effect.

The strength of the study was, to generate local data regarding potential DDIs. The study provides the baseline data for future studies of inpatient or outpatient in different departments or in different institute. These particular results regarding occurrence of potential DDIs will be notified to the physicians to sensitize them.

LIMITATION

In present study, the utilization of DDI-checker software provides only a ‘potential’ estimate of DDI occurrence. Further follow up studies can be planned to identify ADRs due DDIs. Present study was done by using a freely available DDI checker on Internet, but it can be planned by the use of more than one drug interaction checker to produce more precise results. However, despite these limitations, the study approach is currently widely used to assess the clinical relevance and risk of exposure to potential DDIs.

CONCLUSION

Potential DDIs increased with an increased number of drug prescribed. The use of electronic interaction software, continuing education and observation by prescribers towards drug selection may decrease the problem of potential adverse DDIs. The use of most commonly involved drug groups in adverse DDIs should be reduced or change with the alternative drugs.
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