ABSTRACT
Objective: To develop a gastroretentive in situ oral gel to improve the bioavailability of the cephalosporin antibiotic drug, Cefuroxime Axetil. Methodology: Sodium alginate and pectin were the ionic dependent gel forming polymer. Calcium chloride was used as complexing agent to increase cross linking along with sodium citrate. Mucoadhesion was enhanced with the help of polymer HPMC K4M. Two factors and three levels factorial design was used for the optimization of formulation using design expert software version 7.1.6. The In situ gel system was evaluated for the content uniformity, pH, gelling capacity, viscosity of gel, in-vitro drug release and mucoadhesion study. Results and Discussion: Optimized formula showed more than 24% Drug release after 1 hour and prolonged release up to 12 hour, Viscosity of gel formed was 6423.9 cps and 3.668 N/cm². The results revealed that as the concentration of Sodium alginate was directly proportional to mucoadhesion, inversely proportional to drug release while concentration of pectin directly proportional to drug release. Conclusion: The study point to the potential of in situ oral gel in terms of ease of administration, better patient compliance and prolonged gastro-retention.
Key words: Gastro-retentive drug delivery system, Mucoadhesive Oral In-situ gel, Cefuroxime Axetil.
Correspondence:
Dr. Divyesh Harshadkumar Shastri,
Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education & Research, Gh-6, sector-23, Kadi Campus, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, INDIA-382023.
E-mail: divyeshshastrigmail.com
DOI: 10.5530/jyp.2016.4.6

INTRODUCTION
Cefuroxime is the first commercially available second generation, semi-synthetic cephalosporin obtained from the 7-chelosporanic acid nucleus of cephalosporin C. In humans, gastrointestinal absorption of cefuroxime is negligible due to its poor aqueous solubility and instability, whereas the acetoxyethyl ester of cefuroxime (Cefuroxime Axetil), an oral prodrug shows a bioavailability of 30 to 40% when taken on fasting and 50 to 60% when taken after food. Cefuroxime Axetil is used in the treatment of common community acquired infections because of its in-vitro antibacterial activity against several gram-positive and gram-negative organisms.1
Gastro-retentive dosage forms (GRDFs) are designed to be retained in the stomach for a prolonged time and release their active ingredients and thereby enable sustained and prolonged input of the drug to the upper part of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract.2-6
The gastro-retentive drug delivery systems can be retained in the stomach and contribute in improving the sustained delivery of drugs that have an absorption window in a particular region of the gastrointestinal tract.7-9 These systems help in continuously releasing the drug even after it reaches the absorption window, thus ensuring optimal bioavailability.10-12
Gastro-retention is achieved by Expandable systems, Bio/Mucoadhesive systems, floating drug delivery systems and Combination of floating, mucoadhesion and swellable system.13-15
The in situ gelling system is a type of mucoadhesive drug delivery system principally capable of releasing drug molecule in a sustained manner affording relatively constant plasma profile. These formulations are liquid at room temperature but undergo gelation when in contact with body fluids or change in pH. These have a characteristic property of temperature dependent, pH dependent and cation induced gelation. In situ gelling formulations are widely applicable for ocular, nasal, vaginal and oral therapy. It has several advantages as a dosage form for oral administration like maximum intimate contact of the drug at the absorption site, influenced rate of absorption, ease of preparation, homogeneity of drug distribution compared to other conventional suspensions. Thus in the present study an attempt was made to prepare a formulation of Cefuroxime Axetil as in situ gel forming drug delivery system for oral delivery using Pectin and Sodium alginate as gel forming agents.16-20
Pectins are a family of polysaccharides in which the polymer backbone mainly comprises-(1 →4)-d-galacturonic acid residues. Low methoxyl pectins (degree of esterification<50%) readily form gels in aqueous solution in the presence of free calcium ions, which cross-link the galacturonic acid chains as ‘egg-box’ model. Aqueous solutions of sodium alginategelation in presence of di- or tri-valent metal ions by a co-operative process involving consecutive glucuronic residues in the alpha-L-guluronic acid blocks of the alginate chain. Reproducible gelation of these polysaccharides is ensured by including a source of Ca²⁺ ions in the formulation, but gelation is delayed until the administered solution reaches the stomach by complexing the calcium with sodium citrate. Here the acidic environment causes breakdown of the complex, releasing free Ca²⁺ ions and causing instantaneous gelation.21-25
The goal of the present work is to develop a hydrodynamically balanced system or floating drug delivery system for Cefuroxime Axetil, which increases the gastric residence time, decreases the diffusion resistance and allow more of antibiotic to penetrate through the gastric mucus layer and act locally at the infectious site. 5 to 60% when taken after food 4-7. The low bioavailability and short biological half life (1.5 hours) of Cefuroxime Axetil following oral administration favours development of a gastro-retentive formulation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Materials
Cefuroxime Axetil was obtained from Comed Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Baroda, GJ, IND. Sodium Alginate and Eosine yellow (Loba chemie Pvt.
Preparation of sol and suspension
Weighed amount of sodium alginate and pectin were added to the 40 mL ultrapure water containing sodium citrate (0.25% w/v) and calcium chloride (0.075% w/v) and heated up to 60°C while stirring. After cooling to 40°C, HPMC K4M was dissolved with constant stirring. Cefuroxime Axetil (3gm) was added and dispersion was formed. To this suspension appropriate quantity of sodium saccharin, preservative sodium benzoate and coloring agent eosine yellow were added and volume made up to 60 mL with purified water containing sodium citrate and calcium chloride. The prepared suspension was added to 0.1N Hydrochloric acid to form gel.

Evaluation of suspension
Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)
FTIR study of physical mixture of drug and polymer was carried out to detect for possible drug excipient interaction if any.

pH measurement
All the formulations were tested for pH using digital pH meter. The measurements were carried out in triplicate and the average value was calculated as shown in Table 2.

Drug content uniformity study
Drug content of Cefuroxime Axetil was determined by dissolving 5 mL of suspension in 50 mL of methanol. The solutions were filtered through whatman filter paper and diluted about 400 times and analyzed for the drug content by UV spectrophotometer at 277 nm.

Viscosity of suspension
The viscosity measurement of each formulation was determined using Brookfield viscometer DV-II+ Pro LV model. The selected formulations were poured into the sample adaptor of the viscometer and viscosity was measured at 37°C. The measurements were carried out using spindle S-63 and S-64 at the speed of 4 RPM and 2.5 RPM respectively and the viscosity was measured at 10 min after the rotation of the spindle. The viscosity measurements were made in triplicate using fresh samples each time.

Mucoadhesion study
Mucoadhesion study was carried out using modified mucoadhesion apparatus. There was two glass slides, bottom slide was fixed with wooden base while upper slide was attached to nylon thread, and the other end of nylon thread was attached to plastic bowl. Here the weight of glass slide and plastic bowl was equal. Rate stomach mucosa was fixed on both glass slides using gum. 1 gm of gel was placed between two slide and put 1 kg weight for removal of air for 5 minute. Water was continuously added to plastic bowl till the two slides were just detached. Measure the quantity of water required and mucoadhesive strength was calculated.

The protocol of the experiment was approved by Institutional Animal Ethical Committee as per the guidance of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (Reg. No. 238/ CPCSEA), Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India (19/2011 dated on 19th December, 2011 Form No. KBIPER/299).

In-vitro drug release study
The in-vitro drug release studies of Cefuroxime Axetil from the In-situ gel formulation were studied using dissolution testing apparatus USP II. The dissolution medium was 900 mL freshly prepared 0.1 N Hydrochloric acid (pH 1.2). 5 mL of formulation added to dissolution basket. The paddle speed was 50 RPM. Aliquots, each of 5 mL volume were withdrawn at 60 minute interval and replaced by an equal volume of 0.1 N Hydrochloric acid to maintain the sink condition. The aliquots were diluted with dissolution medium and analyzed by UV spectrophotometer at 280 nm.

Optimization using Factorial design for optimizing the In-situ oral gel
The use of trial and error technique for the development of a new pharmaceutical formulation leads to a satisfactory formulation rather than an optimal one. The optimization techniques, on the basis of a few experiments and statistical analysis of the results can provide an efficient and economical method for the prediction of the optimal composition.

In-vitro gelling capacity study
In vitro gelling capacity was determined by visual method. Prepared formulations was measured by placing 5 mL of the 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2) in a 15 mL borosilicate glass test tube and maintained at 37 ± 1°C temperature. One mL of colored formulation was added with the help of pipette. The formulation was transferred in such a way that places the pipette at surface of fluid in test tube and formulation was slowly released from the pipette. As the solution comes in contact with gelation solution, it was immediately converted into stiff gel like structure. The gelling capacity of solution was evaluated on the basis of stiffness of formed gel and time period for which the formed gel remains as such. The in vitro gelling capacity was graded in two categories on the basis of gelation time and time period for which the formed gel remains.

Viscosity measurement of In-situ formed gel
The viscosity measurement of formulation, after gelling in 0.1N Hydrochloride solution was determined using Brookfield viscometer DV-II+ Pro LV model. The selected formulations were poured into the sample adaptor of the viscometer and viscosity was measured at 37°C. The measurements were carried out using spindle S-63 and S-64 at the speed of 4 RPM and 2.5 RPM respectively and the viscosity was measured at 10 min after the rotation of the spindle. The viscosity measurements were made in triplicate using fresh samples each time.
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Mucoadhesion study was carried out using modified mucoadhesion apparatus. There was two glass slides, bottom slide was fixed with wooden base while upper slide was attached to nylon thread, and the other end of nylon thread was attached to plastic bowl. Here the weight of glass slide and plastic bowl was equal. Rate stomach mucosa was fixed on both glass slides using gum. 1 gm of gel was placed between two slide and put 1 kg weight for removal of air for 5 minute. Water was continuously added to plastic bowl till the two slides were just detached. Measure the quantity of water required and mucoadhesive strength was calculated.
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was used to find out the control factors that significantly affect response variables.

**Water uptake study**

A simple method was adopted to determine the water uptake by the gel. The in situ gel formed in 0.1 N Hydrochloric acid was used for this study. From each formulation the gel portion from the 0.1 N Hydrochloric acid was separated and the excess solution was blotted out with a tissue paper. The initial weight of the gel taken was weighed and to this gel 10 mL of distilled water was added and after every 30 minutes of the interval water was decanted and the weight of the gel was recorded and the difference in the weight was calculated and reported.\(^3^3\)

**Stability study of optimized batch**

Stability study was performed optimized batch for any physicochemical changes. The formulation was filled in glass bottle and stored at room temperature for period of one month. The formulation was evaluated after the period of one month for in-vitro drug release.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preliminary Studies**

FTIR study of physical mixture of drug and polymer indicated that all the excipients chosen were compatible with the drug.

**pH Measurement study**

As shown in Table 2, pH of all formulation was in range of 6.31 to 6.72. In this range formulation was stable and do not affect drug properties, so there was no need for pH adjustment.

**Content uniformity study**

The percentage drug content of all the formulations was found to be in the range of 89.52 % to 114.22 % (Table 2).

**Viscosity measurement of suspension**

Result of present study shown in Table 2. Viscosity measurement of all formulations was varies from 84 to 252.75 cps. All the formulations were found easily pourable.

**Evaluation of In-situ Gel**

Gelation time and residence time present in Table 3. The result of Table 3 showed no significant difference in gelation time of all the formulation as well as residence time of the gel. The gelation time for all the formulation was between 5 to 15 seconds and formed gel lasted for >12 hour which was suitable for our study.

**Viscosity study**

Result of viscosity measurement present in the Table 3. Viscosity of In-situ formed gel of the all formulations was varies from 3398 to 57564.5 cps according to level of polymer added. There was directly proportional effect of polymer concentration on viscosity, so viscosity of In-situ formed gel of the formulation was taken as one of the dependent parameter for optimization of formulation.\(^3^4\)

**Mucoadhesion study**

Table 3 showed the Result of mucoadhesive strength. The mucoadhesive strength of all formulations was varies from 3.516 to 5.579 N/cm\(^2\). There was directly proportional effect of polymer concentration on mucoadhesive strength, so mucoadhesive strength of the formulation was taken as one of the dependent parameter for optimization of formulation.\(^3^5,3^6\)

**In-vitro Drug Release Study**

The effect of polymer concentration on in vitro drug release from in situ gel formulations were shown in Figure 1. A significant decrease in the rate of drug release was observed with the increase in polymer concentration and it was attributed to increase in the density of the polymer matrix. The release of drug from these gel formulations were characterized by an initial phase of high release (burst effect). However, as gelation proceeds the remaining drug was released at a slower rate followed by a second phase of moderate release. This biphasic model of release is a characteristic feature of matrix diffusion kinetics. As the proportion of Sodium Alginate increased in the gelling systems, a significant decrease in the drug release was observed. As in all cases, the release from batch A to I followed a biphasic pattern, with initial phase of high release followed by a phase of moderate release. Though the cross-linking of the sodium alginate network and eventually the gelation due to the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions occurs instantaneously, a lag time is still evident before the complete gel formation. This could explain the initial high release of Cefuroxime Axetil, which was soluble at lower pH. Further, the system was formulated in aqueous vehicle, hence the matrix formed before the complete gelation/cross linking would already be in a hydrated state thereby discounting the matrix hydration and water permeation that would normally limit drug release during the initial stages.

**Release kinetic study**

Drug release data of all the formulation were fitted into different release kinetic model like Zero-order, First-order, Higuchi, Hixson-Crowell and Korsemeyer-Peppas. Results of release kinetic study are shown in the Table 4. The best fitted model was selected on the basis of relatively high R-square values. All the formulation have diffusion exponent (n) values between 0.5 to 0.85 which indicates that all the formulations were following non-Fickian diffusion (Anomalous diffusion). Batch A followed the release as per Korsemeyer-Peppas model. Drug release from the formulation (batch code B to I) can be best explained by the Higuchi model due to highest R-square value among all the models.

**Factorial design**

*In-situ* oral gel was prepared by ionic cross linking method. Two factors and 3 levels full factorial design was used to optimize the formulation which could sustain the drug release up to 12 h. Amount of Sodium alginate (X\(_1\)) and amount of Pectin (X\(_2\)) were selected as independent
Table 1: Formulation of Batch A to I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch code</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Content (% w/v)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefuroxime Axetil</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium Alginate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pectin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMC K4M</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium Citrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcium Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium Saccharin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium Benzoate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water q.s. to 60 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results of different evaluation parameter of suspension formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch code</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>% Content</th>
<th>Viscosity (cPs)</th>
<th>Redispersibility/ No of Strokes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.72 ± 0.01</td>
<td>102.7768 ± 1.12</td>
<td>*84 ± 1.4</td>
<td>Fair/ 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>97.98 ± 1.16</td>
<td>128.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>Good/ 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6.51 ± 0.01</td>
<td>114.22 ± 1.80</td>
<td>179 ± 2.1</td>
<td>Good/ 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>6.62 ± 0.01</td>
<td>92.13 ± 1.77</td>
<td>133.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>Fair/ 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.01</td>
<td>89.52 ± 0.95</td>
<td>140.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>Good/ 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>95.12 ± 0.38</td>
<td>199.5 ± 1.54</td>
<td>Fair/ 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>6.71 ± 0.01</td>
<td>94.99 ± 0.67</td>
<td>141.5 ± 2.68</td>
<td>Good/ 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>6.55 ± 0.03</td>
<td>102.65 ± 0.81</td>
<td>203.1 ± 3.82</td>
<td>Good/ 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>98.98 ± 1.02</td>
<td>252.75 ± 2.6</td>
<td>Good/ 01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicated Mean± SD, n=3.

Table 3: Results of different evaluation parameter of In situ gel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch code</th>
<th>Gelation time</th>
<th>Viscosity (cPs)</th>
<th>Mucoadhesive Strength (N/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3398 ± 2.86</td>
<td>*3.516 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>5521 ± 1.46</td>
<td>3.668 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>9657 ± 1.99</td>
<td>3.821 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>17876 ± 2.11</td>
<td>4.127 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>28859 ± 1.65</td>
<td>4.204 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>39232 ± 2.34</td>
<td>4.356 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>31793.5 ± 2.84</td>
<td>5.121 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>56900 ± 3.46</td>
<td>5.350 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>57564.5 ± 3.66</td>
<td>5.579 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gelation time: Excellent: less than 1 min, Good: 1 to 1.5 min, Moderate: 2 min *Indicated Mean± SD, n=3.

Statistical analysis was performed using Design Expert Software (Version 7.1.6, Stat- Ease Inc, Minneapolis, MN) by taking X₁, X₂, ..., X₄ as independent variables. R₁, R₂, R₃ and R₄ were taken as responses (dependent variables). The values of X₁, X₂, X₃, R₁, R₃ and R₄ are given in Table 5.

Viscosity (R₁) = 31215.61 + 21280.25X₁ + 8897.58X₂ + 4877.87X₁X₂ - 3839.92X₃ + 63.66 - 25.80X₄.

Viscosity of the gel was affected by the concentration of sodium alginate; sodium alginate is mucoadhesive polymer. The concentration of sodium alginate increased, cross linking increased and the viscosity of gel also increased. Mucoadhesive strength was affected by the concentration of sodium alginate; sodium alginate is mucoadhesive polymer hence mucoadhesive strength directly proportional to concentration of sodium alginate.

Drug release at first hour was affected by the amount of sodium alginate, as the amount sodium alginate increased, cross linking increased and release of drug from gel matrix decreased at the end of first hour. Drug release at tenth hour was more affected by the amount of sodium alginate and less affected by pectin, as the amount sodium alginate increased.
Table 4: Results of kinetic model fitting for batches (A to I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch Code</th>
<th>Higuchi</th>
<th>Zero Order</th>
<th>Korsemeyer-Peppas</th>
<th>Hixon Crowell</th>
<th>First Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-Square</td>
<td></td>
<td>R-Square</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.9982</td>
<td>0.9943</td>
<td>0.9888</td>
<td>0.5318</td>
<td>0.2854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
<td>0.9884</td>
<td>0.9958</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.9980</td>
<td>0.9798</td>
<td>0.9941</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.9969</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
<td>0.9979</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
<td>0.9939</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.9910</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.9908</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=Release Exponent, k-kinetic constant.

Table 5: 3^2 full factorial design layout with result of responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch Code</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Response values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X₁</td>
<td>X₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, R₁: Viscosity of the Gel, R₂: Mucoadhesive strength, R₃: CPR at 1 hour and R₄: CPR at 10 hour.

Selection of optimized batch using overlay plot

Optimization of the formulation was done using Design expert software version 7.1.6. Target release kept for the optimization of the formulation was 23% to 33% drug release at the first hour, 81% to 91% at tenth hour, mucoadhesive strength 3.5 to 5.5 N/cm² and viscosity of gel 5000 to 7000 cps. Results showed that checkpoint Batch CP2 came closest to satisfying all the selection criteria.

From the factorial design study optimum values for dependent parameters were set for i.e., Viscosity (R₁), Mucoadhesive strength (R₂) and CPR at 1 h (R₃) and 10 h (R₄) respectively it was observed that batch CP2 could be formulated having composition (Table 6) of X₁=-0.77 of Sodium alginate and X₂=0.88 of Pectin which was found suitable in fulfilling all the desired characteristics as per the set parameter values or formulation criteria. Thus, Batch CP2 was prepared and evaluated further as an optimized batch.

Evaluation of optimized batch

The graph indicated good correlation coefficient 0.993, so linearly water uptake was observed by the optimized batch CP2, so better diffusion and drug release observed from the gel formulations. The best fitted model was selected on the basis of relatively high R² value. Optimized batch CP2 had diffusion exponent (n) value 0.534 indicated that the batch CP2 exhibited non-Fickian diffusion (Anomalous diffusion). Batch CP2 formulation can be best explained by the Higuchi model due to highest R² value among all the models.

The formulation was evaluated for pH, drug content uniformity and In-vitro drug release. The drug release kinetics of the optimized formulation followed Higuchi model which indicated that the drug release occurred by non-Fickian mechanism i.e. combination of both diffusion and erosion. The optimized batch CP2 was found clear, spreadable, exhibiting good drug content uniformity and showing no significant changes in viscosity, mucoadhesive strength and pH initially and after 30 days. All the parameters were within specifications. No significant changes were observed during stability period of 30 days.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the drug release from the In-situ oral gel formulation can be modulated by the use of different amount of gel forming polymers. From the results, batch CP2 was reported as optimized batch. In this batch, 0.346%w/v Sodium Alginate and 0.324%w/v Pectin were reported as optimized concentration. Release kinetic study showed that the diffusion mechanism is anomalous and model which was followed...
by Higuchi model. Thus, In-situ gelling system of Cefuroxime Axetil was successfully developed by ionic cross linking method that could form gel instantaneously when in contact with gastric fluid and remains in stomach for more than 12 h.
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ABBREVIATIONS USED

- CPR: Cumulative Percentage Drug Release
- FTIR: Fourier Transform Infra-Red Spectroscopy
- RPM: Revolutions Per Minute
- HCl: Hydrochloride
- UV: Ultra Violet Spectroscopy
- nm: Nanometer
- cps: Centipoise
- HPMC: Hydroxy Propyl Methyl Cellulose

ABOUT AUTHORS

Dr. Divyesh H. Shastri: Dr. Hitesh Dodiya and Dr. Pragna K Shelat are Faculties in the Department of Pharmaceutics, K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education & Research, Gandhinagar, affiliated to Kadi Sarva Vishwavidyalaya.

Mr. Alkesh Bhanupriy: Is working as Research Scientist, F & D at Claris Otsuka, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

REFERENCES