Evaluation of the pattern of self-medication among the paramedical support staff in a tertiary care hospital
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ABSTRACT
Objective: To evaluate self-medication practices in the support staff of a tertiary care hospital. Methods: It was a cross-sectional study in which a self-developed questionnaire was used. Study population consisted of two categories of paramedical staff (Category I: Nurses, Category II: Non nursing staff – technicians, clerks). Results: It was found that 68% of Cat I and 52% of Cat II subjects practised self-medication. Self-medication with analgesics was the most prevalent. A high percentage of the nursing staff was found to be self-medicating with antibiotics although the awareness levels about antibiotic resistance are high among them. Nearly 50% of the subjects self-medicated with nutritional supplements and there was a greater tendency to select the nutritional supplement by means of advertisements. Most subjects in both categories stopped taking their medication immediately after cessation of symptoms, reflecting on the inadequacy of knowledge of drug dosages and the importance of completing drug courses. Common sites for obtaining drugs were the wards and OPDs of the hospital and the local pharmacies. ~75% of the nursing staff would be confident of prescribing drugs based on their experience – as compared to the ~15% of non-nursing staff who would. In conclusion, nursing staff are found to be self-medicating more and with higher confidence. There should be sensitization at time of recruitment of staff and conducting CMEs, hence making self-medication a more rational and effective tool of self-care.

Key-message: Antibiotic resistance, Self-medication, Support staff.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of self-treatment goes down to pre-historical times, where man had been using naturally available substances to rid himself of diseases. In the modern era of allopathy, self-medication with commonly available drugs is a widespread phenomenon. Self-medication is defined as the use of drugs to treat self-diagnosed disorders or symptoms, or the intermittent or continued use of a prescribed drug for chronic or recurrent disease or symptoms.¹

Self-medication studies conducted amongst physicians and medical students have showed a high prevalence of self-medication amongst medical workers and could be regarded as an occupational hazard for the medical profession. Several studies have reported that inappropriate self-medication results in wastage of resources and entails serious health hazards such as adverse drug reactions, prolonged suffering and drug dependence.¹²

On the other hand, if done appropriately, self-medication can readily relieve acute medical problems, can save the time spent in waiting to see a doctor, may be economical and can even save lives in acute conditions.¹ This is especially important when it comes to medical field workers, who may be exposed to a larger amount of pathogens as compared to a lay man. The support staff of a tertiary care hospital, where drugs are freely available not only in the wards and OPDs, but also in the nearby chemist shops, has easy access to a large number of medications. Due to the nature of their work and the work environment, they also have a good idea about drug dosages, indications and contraindications. Being employed in an urban tertiary care hospital means that they are aware of the different brands of common generic drugs. Hence, self-medication amongst them has a different prevalence as compared to the general populace.

This study was planned with the objective of evaluating self-medication practices in the support staff of a tertiary care hospital and to study the prevalence of self-medication and knowledge of drug ADRs and antibiotic resistance among them.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out after approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee (Ethical Committee Letter No. IEC/Pharm/687/2013). This was a survey-based study in which a self-developed questionnaire consisting of both open-ended and close-ended items was used. Study population consisted of support staff from the medical wards of a tertiary care hospital in urban Mumbai, Maharashtra. Totally 100 were enrolled in the study and they were randomly divided in to two groups viz., category I (Nursing staff) and category II (Non nursing staff) Total sample size was 100 (each category = 50 samples).

Subjects who are working in the hospital or in any other tertiary care hospital for more than a period of one year and comfortable with read-
ing and understanding either English/Hindi were included in the study. Work experience in a tertiary care hospital for less than one year was excluded from the study. Subjects were explained the nature and purpose of the study. The procedure of completing the questionnaire was explained and informed consent was taken before starting the study. Subjects were given a choice between English and Hindi versions of the questionnaire, as per their comfort with the language.

STATISTICAL METHODS

Stata (version: 13.1) software as used for statistical analysis. Data summarised and Pearson’s and Chi-Square test was used for 2x2 tables, as a test of significance. When the expected value in a cell is <5, Fisher’s Exact test (talled) was used as a test of significance and odds ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals have been calculated. A P value of <0.05 is deemed statistically significant.

RESULTS

Percentage of participants practising self-medication was: Cat I - 68% (34/50); Cat II - 52% (26/50). The difference was not found to be statistically significant. \[ p = 0.102; \text{or (95% CI) = 1.96 (0.81 – 4.80)} \]

The two categories were compared with respect to their self-medication practices in 5 drug groups, namely antibiotics, analgesics, anti histaminics, G.I. medication and nutritional supplements. (Graph 1)

It is observed that a higher number of subjects in Cat I were self-medicating with antibiotics (67.6%) as compared to Cat II (19.2%). The percentage of subjects self-medicating with all drug groups was higher in Cat I as compared to Cat II, except for G.I. medication, where Cat II (53.9%) was higher than Cat I (38.2%).

Subjects of Cat I show a significantly higher percentage in selecting drugs based on recommendations by co-working doctors and resident

Table 1: Different criteria for selection of drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion for selection</th>
<th>Cat I</th>
<th>Cat II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation by doctor/resident doctor*</td>
<td>38.84%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ p = 0.007; \text{OR(95% CI) = 8.4 (1.6 – 82.2)} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation by pharmacist</td>
<td>23.48%</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion of colleagues/friends*</td>
<td>35.25%</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ p = 0.035; \text{OR(95% CI) = 4.18 (0.93 – 25.61)} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own experience</td>
<td>38.09%</td>
<td>26.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous doctor’s prescription</td>
<td>24.22%</td>
<td>44.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The advertisement</td>
<td>12.45%</td>
<td>12.62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cessation of drug intake (* = Statistically significant difference)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cessation of drug intake</th>
<th>Cat I</th>
<th>Cat II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After a few days regardless of the outcome</td>
<td>3.63%</td>
<td>20.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After symptoms disappeared</td>
<td>53.76%</td>
<td>51.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A few days after the symptoms disappeared*</td>
<td>16.36%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ p = 0.031 ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After drugs ran out</td>
<td>26.21%</td>
<td>12.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the completion of the course</td>
<td>16.71%</td>
<td>18.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
doctors \( [p = 0.007; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 8.4 (1.6 – 82.2)] \), and opinions of colleagues/friends \( [p = 0.035; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 4.18 (0.93 – 25.61)] \). A similar percentage of subjects in both categories select drugs based on their own experience and advertisements. A higher percentage of Cat II subjects select drugs based on a previous doctor’s prescription \( (44.5\%) \) as compared to Cat I \( (24.2\%) \). (Table 1)

It is seen that the knowledge of dosage in Cat I is by experience from administering doses to patients in wards/OPDs is significantly higher than Cat II \( [p = 0.008] \), and by consulting a doctor/resident doctor \( [p = 0.008; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 7.42 (1.39 – 73.07)] \). Cat II, on the other hand, has a significantly higher percentage of guessing of the dosage. \( [p = 0.0068; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 0.18 (0.04 – 0.77)] \) (Table 2)

Nearly half of the subjects in both categories have stopped taking their medication after disappearance of symptoms \( (\text{Cat I} = 53.76\%, \text{Cat II} = 51.71\%) \). Only 16.71% of Cat I and 18.58% of Cat II subjects stopped taking the drug after completing the course.

It is observed that a very highly significantly larger number of subjects from Cat I obtain drugs from the wards/OPDs as compared to Cat II \( [p = 0.0052; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 5.06 (1.37 – 19.73)] \). A high and nearly equal number of subjects from both categories use drugs leftover from previous prescriptions \( (~68\%) \).

Graph 2 show awareness levels about ADRs and antibiotic resistance. Cat I shows higher awareness on both topics.

A similar number of both categories of subjects experienced ADRs while self-medicating \( (\text{Cat I} = 23.53\%, \text{Cat II} = 23.08\%) \). The methods of resolving ADRs are shown in Table 3.

When asked if the subject would continue to self-medicate if made aware about ADRs, 67.65% of Cat I and 26.92% of Cat II said they would. There was a highly significant difference here \( [p = 0.0018; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 5.68 (1.63 – 20.67)] \).

The subjects were asked if they feel they can treat common infectious diseases by themselves. The responses are recorded in Table 9.1. It is interesting to note that 52.17% of Cat I subjects feel they can treat a disease by themselves, while 0% of Cat II feel they have the knowledge and aptitude to treat a disease.

When asked if the subjects would prescribe drugs based on their experience, 73.53% of Cat I and 15.38% of Cat II said they would.

### Table 3: Methods of resolving ADRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Cat I (%)</th>
<th>Cat II (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stopping medication</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting the doctor</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-medicating for the ADR in question</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This was a very highly significant difference. \( [p> 0.001; \text{OR}(95\%\ CI) = 15.28 (3.61 – 74.04)] \).

### DISCUSSION

We see that a high percentage of the nursing staff is self-medicating with antibiotics \( (~68\%) \). This finding is in accordance with other studies which also show that use of antibiotics is higher among medical personnel. Although the awareness levels about antibiotic resistance are high among the nursing staff \( (~70\%\ are\ aware\ about\ antibiotic\ resistance) \), such a widespread and possibly indiscriminate usage of antibiotics raises questions about the growing microbial resistance amongst the populace.

The antibiotic usage by the non-nursing staff is lower \( (~20\%) \), probably due to their ignorance of these higher drugs.

It is seen that in both categories of subjects, self-medication with analgesics is the most prevalent. This is in concordance with other studies on self-medication with different samples. It is interesting to note that the use of GI drugs is more in the non-nursing staff than the nursing staff.
The commonest indication for use of these drugs in both categories of subjects is diarrhoea, which may be ascribed to poor eating and hygiene habits and rampant infection by pathogens. Almost 50% of the subjects self-medicate with nutritional supplements, the most used drugs being vitamin supplements. Whether nutritional supplements are used for actual need or just as a placebo remains a question. As mentioned before, there is higher tendency to select the nutritional supplement by means of advertisements (Cat I – 57.89%, Cat II – 41.67%) as compared to other drugs. The social impact of advertising of drugs may be worth addressing, in this regard. When asked about how they know the dosage of the drug they are self-medicating with, the non-nursing staff responded that they mainly guess the dosage. This is contrasting to the responses given by the nursing staff, which include by experience from administering medicines to patients, and by recommendations from doctors. A good number from both categories also rely (~35%) on their own past experience. This implies that self-medication of similar drugs is quite frequently and repeatedly done by both categories of subjects. As mentioned previously, around half of the subjects in both categories stop their medication immediately after cessation of symptoms. This reflects on the inadequacy of knowledge regarding the drug dosages and the importance of completing the course of the drug. This fact is especially important with regards to antibiotics, in which incomplete courses reflect on the inadequacy of knowledge regarding the drug dosages and the importance of completing drug courses.

The commonest sites for obtaining drugs, as expected, are the wards and OPDs of the hospital and the local pharmacies. A high percentage of subjects also use drugs left over from previous prescriptions. This may imply noncompliance of patients with the drug schedules prescribed by the doctors. Nearly 20% of both categories of subjects have faced ADRs while self-medicating. It is interesting to note while majority of the nursing staff prefer consulting a doctor in case of the occurrence of an ADR, the non-nursing staff prefer stopping the medication. This reflects on the confidence of the subject while using a medication – which correlates well with the fact that nearly 70% of the nursing staff said that they would continue to self-medicate even if educated about ADRs (as opposed to only 27% of the non-nursing staff).

More than half of the nursing staff has responded that they think they can treat common infectious diseases by themselves, as compared to the 0% of the non-nursing staff who think they can. This again points to the confidence of the nursing staff while self-medicating. In concordance with this result is the fact that ~75% of the nursing staff would be confident of prescribing drugs based on their experience – as compared to the 15% of non-nursing staff who would. There definitely needs to be sensitization at time of recruitment of staff, to ensure that there is no misuse of freely available medication. Proper auditing of drugs, especially newer generation antibiotics, to curtail misuse should be followed in major hospitals. A definitive step could be hosting CMEs to increase awareness of the staff about antibiotic resistance and drug ADRs and at the same time enhance their knowledge about common drugs, hence making self-medication a more rational and effective tool of self-care.
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